

*Rasulullah (Alayhis Salaam) said: 'Mention the faajir with that in which he indulges so that the people stay away from him even if he is a man of status and position.'*

**THE TABLEEGH JAMAAT,  
EBRAHIM BHAM, TARIQ  
JAMEEL, RADIO SHAYTAAN  
AND THE OFFICIAL  
STATEMENT CONDEMNING  
TARIQ JAMEEL ENDORSED  
BY MANY ULAMA**

**Rasulullah (Sallallahu Alayhi Wasallam) said:**  
*"Speak the truth even though it is bitter."*

By: **JAMIATUL ULAMA NORTHERN CAPE**  
jamiatnc@gmail.com ifta@jamiatnc.co.za  
www.jamiatnc.co.za

# CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION .....	2
UNITY .....	5
VIOLENCE .....	8
FATWAS OF KUFR.....	9
NAMING TARIQ JAMEEL .....	11
THE HADEETH .....	13
BAYAANS THRO UGHOUT THE WORLD .....	16
LISTENING TO TARIQ JAMEELS BAYAANS .....	19
RADIO ‘ISLAM’, EBRAHIM BHAM AND THEIR JAMIAT .....	20
THE ATTITUDE OF THE TABLEEGH JAMAAT .....	23
JOBURG TABLEEGH JAMAAT MARKAZ .....	25
SHURA TABLEEGH JAMAAT SOUTH AFRICA.....	26
MUFTI RAZAUL HAQ .....	27
THE INCONVENIENCE OF DIGITAL ANIMATE PHOTOGRAPHY .....	29
HUJJAH .....	31
CONCLUSION .....	33

“...these scoundrels (i.e. the Shias) are more despicable than the other Kuffaar – Jews, Christians, Hindus, Sikhs, Bangis, Chammars, etc. **They (the Shias) are Akfarul Kuffaar (the worse of the Kuffaar)!** The slaughtered animals of Shias are **Murdaar (carrion)** and **Haraam**. In addition, the **Nikah** of any Muslim is **impossible (i.e. Haraam)** with a **Shia man or woman!**”

(Ahsanul Fataawa – Haqeeqat-e-Shia – Anwaarur Rasheed)

## INTRODUCTION

Tariq Jameel came to South Africa and caused much Fitnah. His Fitnah was so severe that it compelled certain Ulama (some who usually enjoy remaining silent and even justify their silence) to publicly speak up against him in a two-page pamphlet entitled '*official statement*'.

This *official statement* 'endorsed' with the names of several Ulama has been recently circulated. Whilst the '*official statement*' is against Tariq Jameel, it is necessary for us to mention some pertinent observations regarding the '*official statement*'. Whilst the '*official statement*' covers certain aspects, it does not encompass the entire issue. It is very brief and it lacks much important detail.

There are several important conclusions which need to be propagated to the masses for the sake of their Deen. It is the incumbent duty of the Ulama to stand up and speak in defence of the Deen.

Many people might not clearly understand the stance of the Ulama-e-Haq regarding the issue. Since many Ulama endorsed the '*official statement*', a misconception could arise that this '*official statement*' represents all the Ulama, whereas it is not so. Whilst this '*official statement*' was most welcome, it is not the end of the story.

The main culprits were not condemned in the '*official statement*' and they are the very Munaafiqs who would have never ever endorsed the '*official statement*' as it militates against the Cape Accord's concept of Shaitaani so-called unity and tolerance of Kufr and Baatil which is the only song all these Pro-Shia Shayaateen are singing in opposition to the Haq.

Whilst most of the statements contained in the '*official statement*' are correct, the '*official statement*' is incomplete as will be explained further on Insha Allah. There is much more which needs to be said on this issue. The status of Tariq Jameel as well as those promoting him was not adequately explained.

The independent Ulama-e-Haq as well as some of the several Ulama whose names appear on the '*official statement*', agree to the facts mentioned in this treatise.

Whilst we do not object at the Haqq which the '*official statement*' disseminates, it should be realized that some statements within the '*official statement*' could be misconstrued and even misinterpreted.

The '*official statement*' also fails to address many aspects surrounding Tariq Jameel and those Ulama who support him which could be a cause of the laity misunderstanding the entire issue.

The Ulama who took out the '*official statement*' have done a bit of *Nahi Anil Munkar* on the Tariq Jameel issue. However, their *Nahi Anil Munkar* does not incorporate some very important aspects pertaining to *Nahi Anil Munkar* such as naming the devil, exposing the deviates promoting him, etc. etc.

## UNITY

We firstly begin with Tariq Jameel's statement on Shia-Sunni unity. Amongst the very dangerous statements made by Tariq Jameel was the slogan '*Let Shias remain as Shias*'. This statement indicates that Tariq Jameel also regards Shias to be Muslims. He speaks of hate, unity, etc. However, his statements in this regard are very misleading. Unity with those who hate the Sahaabah is only for the Shias and the Kuffaar – never for a Muslim!

The '*official statement*' correctly implies that if we unite with the Shias, then we would be compromising our Deen. However, the pamphlet is draped in an undertone.

The reason we say undertone is that the '*official statement*' makes mention of six Kufr beliefs of the Shias. Moreover, any of these beliefs makes one a Kaafir. Tariq Jameel, despite being aware of the Kufr beliefs, still regards Shias as Muslims; hence, he finds it no problem with someone being a Shia which is in fact Kufr in itself. The '*official statement*' supposed to explicitly condemn Tariq Jameel

for regarding Shias as Muslims, but instead it fails to do so. It does not even clearly mention that the Aqaaid of the Shia are Kufr Aqaaid!

Our pamphlet which discusses Hadhrat Moulana Thanwi's Malfooz regarding '**unity with Baatil**' should be studied carefully. It is our circular number seven titled '**UNITY WITH THE SHIAS**'.

Just as how they have correctly quoted Hadhrat Sheikhul Hadith Moulana Zakariyya Rahimahullah (Honourable author of Fazaail A'maal, Awjazul Masaalik, etc.) on the issue of *Takfeer* (declaring others as Kaafir), they should keep in mind Moulana Thanwi's Malfoozaat too.

Moulana Thanwi Rahimahullah said: "***Why are you (O Ulama!) scared of such criticism? Proclaim boldly: 'Yes, we have ruined the unity.'***"

So the '*official statement*' should have bravely stated something like: '*There is no unity with the Shias*', '*we are Muslims and we will never unite with the Shia Kuffaar who hate the*

*Sahaabah*’, ‘disunity for the sake of our Deen, our Imaan and our Aakhirah is not just praiseworthy, but in fact Waajib (compulsory)’, etc. So, despite the ‘official statement’ implying towards the Haqq, Baatil should be struck in the manner the Ulama-e-Haq do – not in such a light manner which shows that they fear criticism.

So where is the bravery of the Ulama these days??? Why don’t the Ulama speak clearly? Why do some hint and speak so indirectly? The Haqq (truth) needs to be propagated clearly to the masses. There is no need to fear the drying up of funds. There is no worry if people stop following the Ulama-e-Haq! The Haq will endure until Qiyaamah immaterial of numbers and followers.

The ‘official statement’ also contains too many implications which leaves the reader to draw inferences from the seven points mentioned in the ‘official statement’. Since, this is such a grave matter of Imaan and Kufr which even constrained these Ulama to ‘whisper’ the truth, on such important issues there is a need for a robust approach for the sake of the Deen.



It is necessary for Ulama to be explicit when it comes to Imaan and Kufr and not just present inferences. When it comes to Aqaaid, then we should be clear, unambiguous and unequivocal.

## **VIOLENCE**

The statements regarding hate by Tariq Jameel are also false. Hatred for Allah's pleasure seems to be alien to the Pro-Shia sect of Tariq Jameel who only quote those Ahaadeeth which suit their Nafs. How can declaring a Shia as a Kaafir be termed as 'hatred' when the Qur'aan is replete with the word 'Kaafir'? Furthermore, the evidence of the Kufr of Shias is overwhelming.

Point number one and three of the '*official statement*' regarding violence is correct. We have never advocated violence. This is a baseless accusation against the Ulama of South Africa who have exposed Shiism.

Alhamdulillah, we are living in harmony. However, some people have misunderstood the concept of unity. Since Shias are Kaafir, it is Haraam to allow them in our Masaajid to 'pray'

with us. Just as how Christians and Jews may not perform ‘Salaah’ with us, in the same way Shias may not perform ‘Salaah’ with us.

A Shia is a Kaafir and there is absolutely no apology for it. Shias have Kufr beliefs which throw them out of the fold of Islam – in fact, they were never Muslims to start off with! Hence, hating Shiism and Kufr is part of our Imaan. And if any Kaafir Shia wishes to accept Islam, he is more than welcome to do so. But, we cannot compromise our Imaan to accommodate a Tariq Jameel-Radio Shaytaan type unity with Shias which stinks of Nifaaq and Kufr!!!

## FATWAS OF KUFR

Tariq Jameel made some silly baseless comments regarding the Takfeer of the Shias – comments which only befit Shia promoters!

Point number seven of the ‘*official statement*’ clearly acknowledges that ‘*the accusation that Ulama issue fatwas of Kufr without due care is baseless.*’

Here again, it is implied that Shias are kuffaar. So why does the pamphlet not explicitly mention that Shias are Kuffaar??? Point number two of the '*official statement*' regarding Aqaaid is correct. But, we should be explicit and state that the beliefs of the Shias are Kufr beliefs. Explicitly mention that having any of the six-mentioned beliefs of the Shias, throws one out of the fold of Islam.

The '*official statement*' forgot to explicitly mention that Shias are not Muslims, i.e. they are Kuffaar. We do not understand what type of delusion some of the 'Ulama' are suffering from! After all, then what is the point of mentioning the statement of Shiekh Zakariyya Rahimahullah pertaining to the Fatwa of Kufr?

Point number six regarding self-study is correct. It also implies the deviation of the foreign speaker – Mr. Tariq Jameel. The above is not the end of it. We come now to the issue of the foreign speaker whose statements were the cause of the publication of the '*official statement*'.

## NAMING TARIQ JAMEEL

Nowhere in the entire '*official statement*' does the name ***Tariq Jameel*** appear?

Most people may be aware that the foreign speaker being referred to is Tariq Jameel. But why not mention his name when the following facts are not hidden from the Ulama and are all flagrant acts of *Fisq*:

- Tariq Jameel is a Faasiq. He attends gatherings where the laws of Hijaab are violated. The Tableegh Jamaat is well aware of this fact. Yet, some promote him without any shame whatsoever!
- The RIS Convention earlier this year (2018) in Canada. Intermingling of the sexes is rampant at these RIS Conventions. Even Suliman Moola lectures there. What makes it worse is that many modernists and even beardless chaps lecture there and Tariq Jameel was part of it all.

- At these RIS gatherings, the women attend the programs and the women are even able to view the speaker such as Tariq Jameel. Now is this not misleading the Ummah? It is Haraam for women to attend public Bayaans. Mufti Kifaayatullah wrote a whole treatise on it.
- Furthermore, Ninowy is one of the main speakers at these RIS Conventions – he is pro-Shia! So how can Suliman Moola and Tariq Jameel share the same platform with pro-Shia chaps???
- Videoing and animate photography are very severe sins. And this is precisely what takes place at RIS conventions and even the programs Tariq Jameel had in South Africa! But, why did the '*official statement*' not condemn all these Haraam acts of Tariq Jameel too???
- At 'Masjidul Furqaan' in Cape Town, A video camera was placed right in front of the Masjid! Is this how corrupt the Raiwind Ijtimas are, that they have no Aql to understand how they are

misleading the masses. A poster of ‘Islamia College’ was in the Masjid. Moreover, ‘Islamia College’ is amongst the main proponents of the Kufr Cape Accord. So, where is the ‘official statement’ against the Cape Accord??? Why was the video cameras, TV, photography, etc. not openly condemned as Fisq??? People freely videoed and even took photos with their phones!!!

In light of the above, it was necessary to name Tariq Jameel. The so-called Ulama who objected to naming Tariq Jameel should really do some soul-searching!

## **THE HADEETH**

The Hadeeth clearly commands us to name such people who mislead the masses. Imaam Abu Hanifah (Rahmatullah alayh) said to his Student, Imaam Abu Yusuf (Rahmatullah alayh):

*“When you are aware of the evil of a person, then do not speak/mention this about him, but*

*search for goodness from him, and speak about it, except in the matter of Deen.*

*If you are aware of it (evil) in his Deen then mention him to the people so that they do not follow him and so that they stay away from him. **Rasulullah (Alayhis salaam) said: 'Mention the faajir with that in which he indulges so that the people stay away from him even if he is a man of status and position.'***

*And the person in whom you see corruption of the Deen, mention that (to the people) and do not be concerned of his status, for verily, Allah Ta'ala is your Aid, and your Helper and the Helper of the Deen..”*

The words of Nabi Sallallahu Alayhi Wasallam regarding the Faajir, Faasiq, Bid'ati, etc. are clear. Nevertheless, let us proceed further.

Tariq Jameel is promoting Shiism. And this is a very serious issue. He is actually promoting Kufr. When Nabi Sallallahu Alayhi Wasallam instructed the Faajir to be exposed by naming him, then what does intelligence say regarding Tariq Jameel who promotes Shiism and also

propagates the Shaitaani view that the one who calls the Sahaabah Kaafir, is not a Kaafir?

Whereas it is mentioned by the Fuqaha that such a person is Kaafir by Ijmaa', Tariq Jameel presents his baseless opinions which only support the Munaafiqeen of the Cape Accord and the Amman Message.

By regarding the Sahaabah as Kaafir, one is in fact rejecting the Qur'aan. Tariq Jameel speaks of the Qur'aan, but openly teaches that which is in conflict with the Qur'aan! He is promoting that Shias should not be called Kaafir. And this makes Tariq Jameel's Imaan also questionable!!!

Now when Tariq Jameel is propagating such nonsense, then how can his name be omitted when this is an issue of Imaan and Kufr. In fact, the one who says and believes such things which Tariq Jameel stated in favour of the Shias is in fact trifling with his/her Imaan.

So grave and severe is the issue, yet they concealed his name which is very unacceptable – even if they are senior Ulama. Such silence



brings them within the scope of Dumb Shaitaniyyat as far as naming Tariq Jameel is concerned.

## **BAYAANS THROUGHOUT THE WORLD**

That is not the end of it. It is a fact that Tariq Jameel gives Bayaans throughout the world. He will be misleading people across the world with his Baatil, Fisq, Fujoor and even Kufr. Promoting Shiism is Kufr and this is precisely what Tariq Jameel has done.

When he is misleading people all around the world, then all the more is the need to name him publicly so that people may be saved from his Fitnah. Hence, Nabi Sallallahu Alayhi Wasallam said:

**“NAME HIM SO THAT THE PEOPLE  
ARE SAVED FROM (THE FITNAH  
WITHIN) HIM...”**

Ulama are for the entire Ummat and not just for South Africa! The entire world needs to know about the Shaitaniyyat of Tariq Jameel and his

ilk. This is extremely important. So how will they know if his name is concealed?

We do not understand why Tariq Jameel's name was concealed when it is such an important issue. In this regard, the '*official statement*' has not achieved the objective it set out to. The public will realize that the Ulama are weak and are incapable of stating the Haqq clearly. And this is precisely what is happening with these so-called 'Hikmat' chaps of deviation!

If the '*official statement*' could not include all these important facts, then another 'official statement' should be issued which discloses all these important points so that the bravery and Ulama-e-Haq status of those endorsing such statements, could be easily understood.

We should also remember the Marriage Conference. It was a Haraam event and many Ulama, despite being aware of the Haraam program, opted for silence which is not becoming of an Aalim. Only after the marriage conference, did some condemn the marriage conference. Amongst those criticizing the

marriage conference were the sincere ones and also those who merely indulge in 'damage-control' exercises.

And many people will regard the '*official statement*' as a 'damage-control' exercise as they were very well aware of Tariq Jameel's stance regarding the Shias prior to him coming to South Africa, yet they did not prohibit people from attending his Bayaans before his programs nor did they even say so in their '*official statement*'.

And all this took place at a time when people are trying to push the motives of the cape accord which is Shaitaan's accord. In Johannesburg, for Bham, Radio Shaytaan and all the Shayaateen that follow, defend and support them, Tariq Jameel's Bayaans are 'their' accords of love, peace and unity at the expense of compromising the Deen. When the Fuqaha say Shias are Kaafir, then for Bham, MJC, the Munaafiqs and the Zindeeqs, this Haqq is termed as 'Hate Speech'!!!

## LISTENING TO TARIQ JAMEELS BAYAANS

In fact, the Ulama supposed to advise the Muslim public not to listen to Tariq Jameel's Bayaans from the word go. Even prior to Tariq Jameel coming to South Africa, they supposed to say that people should not attend and not listen to Tariq Jameel's Bayaans. As far as watching Bayaans are concerned – then watching lectures of anyone is obviously Haraam. And Tariq Jameel's Bayaans were all broadcasted live on TV, Youtube, etc. The Ulama who do not condemn this evil, are not proper and reliable Ulama.

However, the '*official statement*' fails to mention that it is not permissible to listen to Tariq Jameel's Bayaans. The '*official statement*' clearly mentions the statement of Allamah Ibn Sireen Rahimahullah: "*The acquisition of the knowledge of Deen is an integral part of Deen, so be wary of who you learn your Deen from.*" This statement clearly implies that one may not listen to Tariq Jameel. So, they should have explicitly mentioned the fact that it is not permissible to listen to Tariq Jameel's Bayaans too.

Bravery is needed, not just implications, especially when so many Ulama are issuing a statement. There is nothing to lose when one speaks the Haqq. Why leave still scope for people to decide whether they should attend the programs of Jameel and/or listen to the lectures of Tariq Jameel or not? Not every non-Aalim will understand from Ibn Sireen's statement of the impermissibility of listening to the lectures of Tariq Jameel. In fact, we expect fork-tongued acquittal from some Ulama on the issue of whether it is permissible or not when it comes to listening to the lectures and propaganda of Tariq Jameel.

How can it ever be permissible to listen to a man who promotes Shiism??? Let us wait and see what some of the endorsers of the '*official statement*' have to say!

### **RADIO 'ISLAM', EBRAHIM BHAM AND THEIR JAMIAT**

Before we discuss and tackle the so-called Tableeghis on the issue of Tariq Jameel, it is important to say that the '*official statement*'

fails to condemn those who hosted, promoted and supported Tariq Jameel. Even those who attended Tariq Jameel's Bayaans have acted like dumb donkeys if they knew the Ulama-e-Haq had criticized Tariq Jameel. Yes, there are those who were misled by the Shayaateen such as Suliman Moola, some so-called 'Tableeghi' Shaytaan, Ebrahim Bham, the Shaitaani Radio 'Islam' and others.

Tariq Jameel promoted Shiism. This is dangerous for one's Imaan. In fact, Tariq Jameel's Imaan is questionable. And what about Bham, Radio Shaytaan, MJC, Voice of the Cape, Radio 786 and all other pro-Shias who promoted Tariq Jameel's Bayaans. Where are the Ulama to condemn these devils?

Hence, the 'official statement' has not completed its *Nahi Anil Munkar* until it does not expose the Shayaateen within our midst. The silence of the '*official statement*' on this issue is also unacceptable. Double standards are not expected from the Ulama. ***Expose Bham, MJC, Radio Shaytaan, Voice of the Cape, Radio 786, Cape Accord Zindeeqs, etc. if you are genuinely a real Aalim!!!***

Furthermore, to put the cherry on the top, what does Bham, his Radio Shaytaan, his stupid (Middleburg) UUCSA, his Sanha, MJC, Voice of the Cape, Radio 786 and other Shayaateen got to say about the *official statement* which is a hard blow from the very Ulama whom they love to quote such as the 'Grand Mufti'!

Why didn't they do Taqiyah and also endorse the statement? Because just as how the Taqiyah of the Shias does not prevent them from reviling and cursing the Sahaabah, the Taqiyah of Bham, MJC and the balance of their clique could not prevent them from hosting and promoting a Pro-Shia called Tariq Jameel!!!

The public should not forget that this is the second time when so many Ulama are condemning Bham and company, albeit very indirectly! The silence of the Ulama who oppose the Ulama-e-Haq have such limits which only Bham and his ilk are able to transgress.

## THE ATTITUDE OF THE TABLEEGH JAMAAT

Point number 4 of the '*Official statement*' is a bit misleading. We do acknowledge that the Tableegh Jamaat is a great work. However, the statement that '*there are many great personalities whom we look up to in the effort of Tabligh*' is misleading especially in this age. It creates the impression that the current leadership of the Tabligh Jamaat have great Ulama.

The statement of the '*official statement*' would only be valuable if they expose the Shayaateen of the Tabligh Jamaat who are ruining the reputation of the Tabligh Jamaat so that the masses could recognize who are the real ones to look up to in the Tabligh Jamaat and who are the ones that one has to be careful of.

Who are the many great personalities of the Tabligh Jamaat??? Not all, so why make statements which would mislead the masses. The masses are misled into believing that in this age, the idols of the Tableegh Jamaat who pose for photos are all great personalities. All



those great personalities, seem to be already in their graves such as Sheikh Zakariyya Saheb, Moulana Ilyas Saheb, etc., etc., etc.

Both, Nizaamuddeen and Raiwind are corrupt - Islamically speaking. Everyone knows about Molvi Sa'd and Nizaamuddeen to such an extent that even 'Ulama' associated with the Tabligh Jamaat like 'Mf Ebrahim Desai', have condemned Molvi Sa'd!

As far as Raiwind is concerned, their attitude of allowing Tariq Jameel the platform to lecture at the Raiwind Ijtimas speaks volumes of their Islamic integrity. They are openly promoting a Faasiq!!! And this is *dhoka* (deception). They are deceiving the people into believing that Tariq Jameel is a reliable Molvi whereas it is not so. How can they allow a Pro-Shia the platform at the Ijtima?

When so many Ulama of Pakistan have exposed the Tariq Jameel Dajjaal, then where is the Aql of those in charge of the Raiwind Markaz? Are the chaps of the Raiwind Markaz also pro-Shia? Nay, for them Tariq Jameel is like a movie-actor. They send around his

photos and videos like how the Fussaaq and Fujjaar treat the Kuffaar movie and film actors. This is Tariq Jameel's reality. What is the benefit of Haraam photography???

O Tariq Jameel! The Shias accuse our mother of Zina, and you still declare such people who openly oppose the Qur'aan as Muslims! Really, your Nifaaq is too palpable for concealment. And Bham and MJC, who hosted you, are all in the same boat as you.

## **JOBURG TABLEEGH JAMAAT MARKAZ**

And let us not forget the 'Ameer' of the Joburg Markaz and some of these Shura chaps! The fact that a big mashwarah had to be held to discuss whether Tariq Jameel would give Bayaan at the Markaz or not, speaks volumes of the deviation of some of the 'seniors' of the Tabligh Jamaat.

And let us not forget that the shift from the Markaz to 'Image Lifestyle' even speaks louder of their tricks. They are fooling none other than themselves! Why did some so-called 'Ulama' give Tariq Jameel such a warm

welcome that they even offered him gifts? They even allowed this Pro-Shia devil to give them advice!!!

It would have been better if they gave him a book against Shiism! But, nay – too much bootlicking and flattery from the Tableeghi Molvis and Muftis – hence, they talk rubbish against the Ulama-e-Haq who openly condemn the Fussaaq, Fujjaar, Mudhilleen and Zindeeqs who are openly misleading the Ummah and sending innocent and gullible people to Jahannam!

## **SHURA TABLEEGH JAMAAT SOUTH AFRICA**

The Shura group is also misleading the Ummah. They indulge in animate photography. They are not real leaders.

Some Raiwind so-called Tableeghis published the names of the following bootlickers:

*Brother Noor Muhammad #Shura South Africa*  
*Dr Naeem Shura South Africa*

*Maulana Naeem ullah (Muqeem/Raiwind  
Shura South Africa)*

*Maulana Abdullah Shura South Africa*

The above four chaps and their clique are guilty of promoting Tariq Jameel who is promoting Shiism in the name of unity, who openly violates the laws of the Shariah which is Fisq and who is openly misguiding people with his Shaitaani Tableegh and all his Haraam actions which undoubtedly reveals that he is from amongst the Mudhilleen.

And it is only Mudhilleen who promote Mudhilleen. The Shura Tabligh Faction of South Africa is on the path of Baatil. People should be wary of this. Whilst Tabligh Jamaat work is excellent, it is a waste of time to consult with the Shura Mudhilleen or to undertake Tableegh under their jurisdiction. There is simply no Barkat with these Mudhilleen. Their *Dhalaal* also has negative effects.

## **MUFTI RAZAUL HAQ**

Mufti Razaul Haq's attitude is also lamentable. His attitude towards Tariq Jameel and the

Tableegh Jamaat reveals his true colours. They title him as 'Grand Mufti' of South Africa, but he conceals the Haqq and even propagates Baatil. Mufti Razaul Haq honoured the Faasiq Tariq Jameel – and this is unacceptable.

Although he is (or claims to be) against animate photography, his Fatwa on the issue is not very clear. Why does he not brand Tariq Jameel as a Faasiq? Why does he not criticize the Tableegh Jamaat and the Raiwind faction for idolizing Tariq Jameel?

Mufti Razaul Haq's attitude towards Radio Islam is also one of hypocrisy. Radio Islam is misleading the Ummah – such as promoting a deviate like Tariq Jameel, yet the so-called 'Grand Mufti' remains silent and does not openly condemn Radio Islam! What type of a 'Grand Mufti' is that who remains satanically silent and who has strong links with the Ahle Baatil?

Mufti Razaul Haq was quick to clarify his stance on photography, but why did he not say that people should not listen to Tariq Jameel's Bayaans? What type of hypocrisy is this? And

then a few days later, his name appears on an '*official statement*'. This is blatant double standards!

So he might be the grand Mufti of South Africa for the Ulama-e-Soo – NOT according to the Ulama-e-Haq and the people of Haqq.

A liberal like Mufti Razaul Haq was never a reliable source for Fatwas, let alone even being a grand mufti!

## **THE INCONVENIENCE OF DIGITAL ANIMATE PHOTOGRAPHY**

Modernists and liberals don't understand the immense inconvenience they cause to the Ahle Haqq when they indulge in animate photography in the presence of people who truly have concern for the Deen.

Although Darul Uloom Zakariyya does not belong to the fraternity of Haqq, they too 'believe' animate photography to be impermissible.

Tariq Jameel flagrantly indulges in photography, videos and allows himself to be televised. In the presence of 'Mufti' Razaul Haq, photos were taken of 'Mufti' Razaul Haq standing with the Shaitaan Tariq Jameel.

Accordingly, the following was issued by 'Mufti' Razaul Haq and 'Moulana' Shabbir Saloojee:

*Urgent clarification*

*It has come to our notice that in our meetings with Moulana Tariq Jameel saheb pictures were taken. This was done without our knowledge or consent. In light of our stance against photography we distance ourselves from such pictures. The onus and responsibility of those who took and circulate such pictures lies with them on the day of Qiyamat.*

*We urge one and all to refrain from taking pictures*

*Mufti Raza Ul Haq Saheb*

*Moulana Shabbir Ahmed Saloojee*

You will notice above the words 'Moulana Tariq Jameel Saheb' – A clear example of how these Ulama-e-Soo 'honour' deviates, Fussaaq and Shia bootlickers with titles of 'Moulana' and 'Saheb'!!! A man misleading the Ummah is 'honoured' only by the people of Baatil!

Furthermore, just imagine the amount of inconvenience they have caused to people from their very own group. Many ‘tableeghis’ regard animate photos to be Haraam which is the one and only correct view.

It should also be clear that ‘Mufti’ Razaul Haq and ‘Moulana’ Shabbir Saloojee should have clearly stated that animate photography is Haraam. They should also not act so gullible and weak in the presence of Fussaaq and Mudhilleen such as Tariq Jameel and his ilk. They should openly condemn Haraam in their presence. Afterall, what then is the worth of the stupid title of ‘Grand Mufti’!

It is a fact that deviates indulge in animate photography everywhere they go, to the extent that deviated Ulama-e-Soo like the so-called ‘Mufti’ Taqi even allows people to take photos of him in the Masjid whilst he is sitting on the Mimbar delivering his lecture!

## HUJJAH

So the ‘*official statement*’ does not condemn the Tabligh Jamaat for making Tariq Jameel a



celebrity. And it has failed to condemn Tariq Jameel and those who promoted him. We do not see any Hikmah in such an attitude. The concern should be the Deen, not pleasing people.

The 'official statement' states *'However, sadly, in present times, every person speaking from a Tablighi platform is not necessarily a 'Hujjah' (proof of being authentic).'*' We don't understand the term 'necessarily' in this averment. The personal actions and opinions of present-day Ulama are not at all proofs of the Shariah unless it is backed up by solid Shar'i dalaail.

How can Tariq Jameel ever be a 'Hujjah' when it is known that he violates the laws of the Shariah and even justifies all the Haraam? Tariq Jameel is the perfect role model for Ibrahim Bham. They both do much Haraam and they justify all the Haraam.

Mufti Razaul Haq should not act ignorantly by averring that he is unaware of the fact that the so-called Tableeghis accompanying Tariq Jameel are always videoing and photographing

## CONCLUSION

Tariq Jameel. He is aware of it, yet they behave in such unscrupulous ways. It is improper for a ‘grand Mufti’ to conduct himself like a ‘Dumb Shaytaan’! The title ‘grand Mufti’ for Mufti Razaul Haq was advertised much in the past by the Ulama-e-Soo of Bham, Sanha and Radio Shaytaan and all the stupid fools that support Bham. And amongst the stupid fools, are also those who qualify at the Darul Uloom and other institutions of the Ulama-e-Soo and the Mudhilleen!

## CONCLUSION

In light of the above, we can comfortably say that too much bootlicking is taking place and most of the Tableegh Jamaat leaders and elders in South Africa, Raiwind, Nizaamuddeen and even the Joburg Markaz are complicit. They are all misleading the Ummah with their Fisq, Fujoor, Baatil, Bid’ah, Dhalaal and dumb Shaitaaniyyat!!!

Just recently, two Muftis informed us of Tariq Jameel having a program in the UK with a mixed gathering...men and women sitting side by side! And this is obviously Haraam. Fitnah

emanates from Tariq Jameel who is promoted by so-called 'Tableegi' deviates and Shayaateen such as Abbas Ali Jeena, Ebrahim Bham, Radio Shaytaan, MJC, and all other Zindeeqs.

So the Tableeghi Muftis and those linked to Tariq Jameel should state the Haqq clearly, explicitly and by also publicly naming Tariq Jameel and exposing all his Shaitaniyyat in a manner which makes people realise that they are from the Ulama-e-Haq – if they are really from the *Ahle Haq*.

If they are unable to do that, then remember...

## **BIRDS OF A FEATHER FLOCK TOGETHER**

**AND**

**NABI SALLALLAHU ALAYHI  
WASALLAM SAID:**

***“HE WHO INCREASES THE NUMBER  
OF A CROWD, IS PART OF THEM.”***

---

*THIS BOOK IS DOWNLOADABLE FROM OUR  
WEBSITE:*

*[www.jamiatnc.co.za](http://www.jamiatnc.co.za)*

---

