

Allah Ta'ala says: "What do they search for the law of jaahiliyyah (ignorance)? Whose Law is better than (the Law of) Allah, for people who have firm faith (in Allah)?"

(Al-Maaidah, Aayat 50)

VOTING, ELECTIONS & KUFR POLITICS

**RESPONSE TO RADIO 'ISLAM'
& THE FORDSBURG JAMIAT**

JAMIATUL ULAMA NORTHERN CAPE

jamiatnc@gmail.com | ifta@jamiatnc.co.za

www.jamiatnc.co.za

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	2
THE ARGUMENTS OF THE FORDSBURG JAMIAT	7
(1) SURAH 3 – AAYAT 26	9
(2) VOICES WILL NOT BE HEARD	16
(3) FREEDOM	22
(4) ENDORSING THEIR POLICIES	24
(5) CARTE BLANCHE	29
(6) THE ROMANS	31
(7) THE MUSLIMS & NAJAASHI	35
(8) LONG TERM WELFARE OF MUSLIMS	40
(9) NON-ISLAMIC SYSTEMS	41
THE VIEWS OF SCHOLARS	45
(A) FAASIQ IBN ADAM AL-KAWTHARI	45
(B) FAASIQ SAJJAD NOMANI	50
(C) FAASIQ HAITHAM AL-HADDAD	51
RESPONSE TO RADIO SHAYTAAN	55
1 – ‘MOLVI’ TAQI UTHMAANI	55
2 – ISLAMIC PRINCIPLES	59
3 – SURAH MAIDAH – VERSE 9	60
4 – THE FIVE ELEMENTS	62
5 – SURAH NISAA AAYAT 85	66
6 – INVITATION TO ISLAM	68
7 – HAZRAT MUFTI KIFAAYATULLAH SAHEB	69
8 – MUFTI MAHMOOD HASAN GANGOHI SAHEB	72
9 – TWO REASONS TO VOTE	73
10 – WHO TO VOTE FOR?	74
11 – HAZRAT MUFTI SHAFI SAHEB	76
12 – SURAH BAQARAH VERSE 283	79
A BROTHER’S LETTER	81
RESPONSE	82
A VERY SIMPLE ISSUE	82

INTRODUCTION

All praise unto Allah Subhaanahu Wa Ta'ala who has stated in the Qur'aan Majeed:

“Those who do not govern according to that (Shariah) revealed by Allah, indeed they are the kaafiroon.”

“Those who do not govern according to that (Shariah) revealed by Allah, indeed they are the zaalimoom.”

“Those who do not govern according to that (Shariah) revealed by Allah, indeed they are the faasiqoon.”

Duroods and Salutations upon Nabi Sallallahu Alayhi Wasallam who said:

“Soon will there dawn an age when nothing will remain of Islam but its name. Nothing will remain of the Qur'aan but its text. The Musaaqid will be populated (and adorned) whilst bereft of Guidance. The worst of the people under the canopy of the sky will be the ulama. From them will emerge Fitnah, and the Fitnah will rebound on them.”

Alhamdulillah, with the Fadhl of Allah, many people are unhappy with the *Fordsburg Jamiat* and its mouthpiece, the so-called '*Radio Islam*' on a range of

issues which these evil so-called ‘Ulama’ are trying to give Islamic credibility.

The *Shaitaaniyyat*, *Dhalaal* (deviation), *Fisq*, *Fujoor*, *Nifaaq*, *Kufr* and *Zandaqah* of the Fordsburg Jamiat which satanically and deceptively parades as ‘*JamiatSA*’ as well as its cronies, is not a secret.

It is not enigmatic to understand why this Fordsburg Jamiat has drifted far away from the Deen. The fact that they are in the forefront defending all the Haraam they are involved in, is sufficient to make one understand that this Jamiat of Bham-Ragie-Jeena-Ravat is totally off-track.

Rasulullah (Sallallahu Alayhi Wasallam) said:

“Verily, I fear for my Ummah such Aimmah (muftis, molvis and sheikhs) who are mudhilleen (men who mislead others).”

Amongst their many deviations is their un-Islamic stance pertaining to the issues of voting, elections and Kufr systems of governance. The fact that the Fordsburg Jamiat has the audacity to quote the Qur’aan in defence of participating in a system which is violently in conflict with Islam, speaks volumes for the deviation of the Fordsburg Jamiat and the scholars associated to them.

From amongst the many concerned Muslimeen, one irate brother had written to us complaining about the Fordsburg Jamiat and the Shaitaani ‘Radio Islam’

who are misusing the Qur'aan to defend un-Islamic politics. His letter is quoted at the end of this booklet.

The Fordsburg Jamiat which satanically parades as '*JamiatSA*' as well as Radio Shaytaan are misusing the Qur'aan and Hadeeth to promote *voting in a haraam system consisting of fisq, fujoor and kufr*. The system is totally in conflict with the Shariah.

The process of electing a leader has been very clearly defined and expounded by The Shariah. There is no ambiguity in this regard. The Islamic System of appointing a ruler/leader (Imaam) is clearly explained in the books of Fiqh.

The only system of government recognized by Islam and commanded by Allah Azza Wa Jal is the one-man autocracy known as the *Khilaafat* which is a government according to the ***Qur'aan and Sunnah***. All other systems are satanic and haraam.

The divine system of Khilaafat was practically demonstrated and implemented *par excellence* during the era of the Khulafa-e-Raashideen of Hadhrat Abu Bakr, Hadhrat Umar, Hadhrat Uthmaan and Hadhrat Ali (Radhiyallahu Anhum).

They ruled by the Law of Allah Azza Wa Jal. There is no better, no superior, no more just system of government than the system of Allah Azza Wa Jal.

In this regard, the Qur'aan Majeed states:

***“What do they search for the law of jaahiliyyah (ignorance)? Whose Law is better than (the Law of) Allah, for people who have firm faith (in Allah)?”
(Al-Maaidah, Aayat 50)***

It is thus clear that the Shar'i System is in stark contrast to western democracy where elections are decided only through majority vote, and that too, the ignorant masses. Scoundrels, murderers, rapists, robbers and the worst of the worst all have a say in electing a government in terms of democracy. Intelligence is sufficient to recognize this gross incongruity and villainy. The western system of democracy as well as all other man-made systems are just not compatible with the Divine system of Islam.

It should thus be clear that quoting Qur'aanic Aayaat and Hadeeth to defend participation in the Kuffaar system of voting as well as indulging in silly bootlicking and hooligan politics, is clearly misinterpretation of the Qur'aan and Sunnah, and this is tantamount to kufr! It is ***Tahreef*** (interpolation).

Keeping the above in mind, it is necessary to respond to these deviates in the blessed words of Nabi Sallallahu Alayhi Wasallam:

“This Ilm (of the Shariah) will be borne by the pious of every successive generation. They (the Ulama-e-Haqq) will drive away from it (this Shariah) the

interpolations of the deviates, the falsehood of the false-mongers and the interpretations of the ignoramuses.” (Mishkaat)

The above Hadeeth is a fitting response to their ***Baatil*** articles. The flimsy and flawed arguments of Radio Shaytaan and the Jamiatush Shaytaan of Fordsburg are indeed amongst the *Tahreefa* of the deviates, the *Intihaala* of the false-mongers and the *Ta’weela* of the ignoramuses.

This booklet is thus in response to two ***Baatil*** articles:

- ‘Elections 2019: Register in Order to Make Your Mark’ by the Shaitaani Fordsburg Jamiat.
- ‘Voting is not a right, it is a duty’ by Radio Shaytaan.

Alhamdulillah, a refutation of both articles is presented with the *Fadhl* of Allah Subhaanahu Wa Ta’ala, as Allah Ta’ala clearly says:

“We fling the Haqq on Baatil. Then it smashes its (i.e. Baatil’s) brains out. Then suddenly it vanishes.”
(Qur’aan)

THE ARGUMENTS OF THE FORDSBURG JAMIAT

Islam has devised its own method of electing a leader/ruler whose obligation it is to form a government as he deems best to execute the Amaanat of the Khilaafat. The Sytem of the Shariah is a method which is proven by the Nusoos (Categorical proofs of the Shariah) and explained in detail by the Fuqaha of the Salaf-e-Saaliheen.

Since Islam has its own system of government and its own methodology for appointing a government, it goes without saying that Muslims in Muslim countries are bound by the Shariah to adopt the Divine System although it is conceded that today there is not a single Muslim country which has adopted the Islamic system. Only kufr systems have been hoisted on the Muslim lands.

If Muslims are in a position to implement the Islamic system, it becomes *waajib* upon them to do so. Where only the system of the kuffaar exist, it devolves upon us to abstain therefrom. There is currently no valid reason for us to participate in voting which is obviously an un-Islamic method to which is attached many ***haraam*** factors.

The Fordsburg Jamiat clique has attempted to give participation in Kuffaar politics some Deeni sanction, but they have miserably failed.

Instead of engaging in *Amr Bil Ma'roof Nahi Anil Munkar* (enjoining good and forbidding evil) and encouraging people to come on Deen and turn to Allah, they have enough time to squander in Kuffaar politics, interfaiths, stupid debates and having silly discussion panels with regards to Kuffaar elections. Their indulgence in haraam and futility with their accompaniment of fisq, fujoor and kufr has no limits.

They have drifted very far from the Haqq and they have many liberals and Zindeeqs within their ranks. They even allowed a 'Muslim' to be buried in a non-Muslim cemetery. Thus, it was not actually surprising to hear that these Zindeeqs are actually misusing the Qur'aan to scrape out some support for their Shaitaani participation in Kufr politics despite them being very aware of it being un-Islamic!

Their Kufr and Fisq intensify by the day just like the spreading of cancer throughout the body. This Fordsburg Jamiatush Shayaateen is indeed very cancerous to the Muslim community.

We thus warn all genuine Muslims to be wary of them and to stay very far away from these Mudhilleen. Below is the response to their puerile arguments followed by a response to the baseless arguments of Radio Shaytaan.

(1) SURAH 3 – AAYAT 26

The deviates cite the following Aayat at the beginning of their baseless article:

‘Say: “O Allah! Lord of Power (And Rule), You grant power to whom You will and You take away power from whom You will; You honour whom You will and you debase whom You will – In Your hand is all good. Verily You have power over all things” (3:26)’

Response:

Firstly, this so-called ‘Jamiat’ has acknowledged that the system of voting is an un-Islamic system which they themselves have described as a *‘non-Islamic system’*! They are very well aware that it is not an Islamic system. So what is the purpose of quoting this Aayat in relation to a system which is un-Islamic?

Secondly, when the voting system is un-Islamic, then it is obvious that a Muslim must abstain from participating in an un-Islamic system. The Aayat makes no mention of voting and supporting Kuffaar! What is the motive underlying the citation of this Aayat, albeit without comment and commentary?

Thirdly, the Fordsburg Jamiat immediately after quoting the above Aayat, makes mention of *‘The 2019 South African National and Provincial Elections’* and

then proceeds to defend their wicked stance of participating in un-Islamic systems!!!

When the system is un-Islamic and the Fordsburg Jamiat – despite acknowledging it being un-Islamic – still has the audacity and impudence to defend their participation in the un-Islamic and Kuffaar system of politics, then we can comfortably say that this effrontery of the Fordsburg Jamiat to even misuse Qur’aanic Aayaat in an article which seeks to defend an un-Islamic system of appointing a government, reeks of the effluvium and mephitis of Fisq, Kufr and Zandaqah within its Baatil ranks.

Do these Fordsburg Jamiat Zindeeqs have any shame on their faces that they brazenly quote and misuse the Qur’aan in their article to defend a system which is un-Islamic even according to them?

Really, it should not be difficult to understand why they are branded as Zindeeqs, Fussaaq, Shayaateen, Ulama-e-Soo, etc. It is due to the gross disrespect and disregard they show to the Qur’aan and the Laws of the Shariah! Their attitude reeks with Kufr!

Fourthly, it is extremely misleading to quote this Aayat 26 of Surah Aal Imran as it creates the wrong impression that Muslims may participate in Kuffaar politics and may vote for the Kuffaar as the Kuffaar have been given ‘authority’ over us.

Quoting Qur'aanic Aayaat in an article which encourages participation in Kuffaar elections implies that participation in a haraam system is permissible according to the Qur'aan. And this is blatant *tahreef* (misinterpretation) of the Qur'aan. After all, the Jamiat Mudhilleen impose voting on the Muslim community as *a responsibility, which is both a duty and a right* – all of which is unmitigated Shaitaani lies according to the Shariah!

It should be noted that this Aayat has no relevance to Kuffaar politics. If the Kuffaar rule a country, it never means that the Shariah is to be made subservient to Kuffaar Law. The Fordsburg Zindeeqs are good at speaking rubbish.

Fifthly, the disgrace and debasement of the Muslim Ummah is the consequence of their violation of the Shariah and abandonment of the Sunnah. Our rulers are thus a good reflection of our rotten condition.

Furthermore, this Fordsburg Jamiat is a disgraceful Jamiat of Shayaateen and Zindeeqs masquerading as 'Ulama'. They don't tell people to stay away from Haraam, but make Halaal what is Haraam! And on the issue of voting, they have elevated the kufr system of voting to the status of Wujoob – so-much-so that if a Muslim abstains from voting, then according to these so-called UUCSA scoundrels, a Muslim will be committing a sin!!! Did Jibraeel (Alayhis salaam) descend on them with Wahi? Yes, we understand that

the ‘wahi’ they have received has been inspired into them by shaitaan.

Sixthly, the power and honour mentioned in the Aayat refers to power and honour bestowed in this world. Allah Ta’ala bestows power, respect, honour, wealth, strength, knowledge, etc. to whomever He wills in this world, and He snatches away these bounties from whomever He wills. However, it does not follow from the worldly power and honour of a nation that Muslims have to submit to the haraam systems, haraam culture and ways of the kuffaar to whom Allah Ta’ala has granted dominance and honour over and above Muslims. Such power and bounties are snatched away from Muslims and handed to non-Muslims as punishment for the evil and transgression of Muslims.

Allah Ta’ala had bestowed great worldly power to Namrood, Shaddaad, Fir’oun and many other kuffaar. But it does not follow from these bestowals that Muslims have to bootlick the kuffaar and adopt their culture and systems of life. On the contrary, Allah Ta’ala sent the Ambiya (Alayhumus salaam) to reject the systems and cultures of the kuffaar, and to warn Muslims to abstain from the lifestyle of the kuffaar, and to submit to the His teachings sent via His Ambiya (Alayhimus salaam).

The attitude of the mudhilleen molvis is in direct conflict with the commands of Allah Ta’ala. He has commanded obedience to His Law, not submitting

with a bootlicking attitude to kuffaar systems and ideologies. What these deviates are advising the community with is that they should adopt the laws of Namrood, Shaddaad and Fir'oun. Those who do so, will be resurrected on the Day of Qiyaamah with the kuffaar whom they had appointed to be their guides, advisors and friends.

What is the motive and purpose of baselessly quoting this Aayat to substantiate a kufr system which promotes lifestyles and systems in conflict with Allah's Law? It is quite apparent that they lack Imaani honour, hence their bootlicking.

Do you Fordsburg Jamiat chaps believe that the Qur'aanic titles of Kaafiroon, Faasiqoon, Zaalimoon, etc. are titles of honour? These are the epithets which Allah Ta'ala has bestowed to those who follow the law of Jaahiliyyah.

What do these deviates of the Fordsburg so-called 'JamiatSA' believe in? Do they really believe that it is only Allah Azza Wa Jal Who has Power and it is only He who bestows honour and respect? Thus the Qur'aan Majeed asks these bootlickers:

“What! Do you search for Izzat (honour and respect) from them? Verily, Izzat is only for Allah.

In another Aayat, the Qur'aan states that the *Izzat* is ordained by Allah Azza Wa Jal for the Rasool and the Mu'mineen. But these moron bootlickers of the

fussaaq, fujjaar and kuffaar politicians grovel for honour and protection at the feet of shadows – at the feet of those whom they believe have power – at the feet of those whom they believe to be in control of our Destiny. They display an attitude of stark *Kufr*.

Seventhly, these bogus JamiatSA chaps are incapable of understanding and comprehending what the Aayat signifies. They say with their tongues that '*Allah has power over all things*', but the reality does not seem to be in their hearts! If they really believe in the very first Aayat which they have quoted in their article, then what is the need of participating in '*a non-Islamic system*'?

Their arguments in defence of voting and in vindication of participation in Kufr politics which they themselves have described as '*a non-Islamic system*' as well as their practical lifestyle convey the impression that the deviates believe that these politicians have power over all things.

When it was queried from one '*Mufti*' of this Zindeeq Jamiat a few years ago as to why Ebrahim Bham goes to the stadiums to partake in government functions whereas there are women and music present at the stadium, he responded by saying that it is a '*political necessity*' for Zindeeq Ebrahim Bham to be at the stadium. His response testified to the abject weakness of his Imaan and to his extreme deficiency in the understanding and belief of the Attributes and Promises of Allah Ta'ala.

Their attitude implies that by obeying the haraam requests and demands of the politicians, the government will be the saviour of Muslims, even if the process entails disobedience unto the Law of Allah such as being present at a *Mal'oon* stadium where music, intermingling, *Fisq* and *Fujoor* are the primary ingredients of such accursed gatherings. This is the reality of this Jamiat. They have excised Allah Ta'ala from the equation of life.

It implies that by obeying the Laws of Allah and declining the requests and demands of the Kuffaar government, Islam and Muslims will not be safe in this country. Such is the blatant Kufr of this Fordsburg Jamiat which deceptively parades as *Jamiat South Africa*. Undoubtedly, they are the Jamiat of Munaafiqeen, Mudhilleen and Zindeeqs of South Africa! They are not worthy of being called 'Ulama'. They have forgotten that ***'there is no obedience to the creation which entails disobedience unto Allah'***.

Do these Fordsburg Jamiat chaps really believe that Allah has power over everything or do they believe that the governments and armies have power and control of everything??? Although they profess to be Muslims, their conduct betrays their kufr belief.

Violating the Shariah of Allah is permissible for these Mudhilleen in terms of their concept of *'political necessity'*. It is evident that these Fordsburg Jamiat fellows fear shadows more than fear for Allah Ta'ala.

Their arguments of political necessity, etc. are pure bunkum, devoid of Shar'i substance.

Eighthly, the Fordsburg Jamiat's citation of Aayat 26 of Surah Aale-Imran in the context of their warped and convoluted political ideology of subservience to Kuffaar politicians is a clear sign of them not understanding the Qur'aan. It also shows that they are defending Kuffaar politics.

All good and all bad comes from Allah – not from the government. But we see this Jamiat bootlicking the politicians and the government. For the sake of political name and fame, they are prepared to murder the Sunnah and trample on the Shariah.

It is heartening to observe that many people are, *Alhamdulillah*, turning away from the cranks of the Jamiat Fordsburg as they have realised that this Jamiat is a bunch of jaahil scholars for Dollars who don't know whether they are going or coming!

(2) VOICES WILL NOT BE HEARD

Uttering nonsense, the Jamiat cranks state: *'Many today complain about politicians not listening to the public. Of course, if we do not bother to vote our voice will not be heard by our elected officials in the first place.'*

Response:

1. These Fordsburg Jamiat chaps are incapable of understanding simple realities. Since it is our constitutional and religious right to abstain from voting, by what stretch of logic can it be said that our voices won't be heard? The laws of the system governing the land do not apply to only those who vote. Whatever benefits the system offers apply to all the citizens whether they vote or not. This is a demand of their democracy.

And even if we vote, what guarantee is there that our voices will be heard? Whether we vote or not, it is the duty of the government to oversee the needs of the citizens. In most cases, our voices are intentionally not heard. Even simple essential religious requisites are ignored. The worst offenders in the crime to suppress the Islamic needs of the Muslim community are the so-called Muslim politicians who are in positions of some authority in government circles. Their primary objective is worldly gain. In fact, they abhor the demands of the Deen because they themselves are fussaag, fujjaar and even atheists.

2. The Jamiat thinks everyone is as stupid as them. Thus, they aver: *"Our elected representatives are guided by what we say particularly when we vote."*

So what do you Jamiat cranks say when you vote? What do you say? *Come give Bayaans at our Eidgahs?* What do you say? *You may vaccinate Muslims with pork vaccines?* What do you really say? *We JamiatSA guys will be there at functions and*

stadiums to render a prayer and participate in interfaith gatherings???

How are the elected representatives guided by our votes? Is it permissible to vote for a *representative* that says that we must perform marriages for gays and lesbians?

Who are the representatives to vote for? Please do name them...If you say vote, then ‘guide’ the Muslim community as to who they should vote for. If there is a Shia standing as a councillor, will it be permissible for Muslims to vote for such a person and make him one’s ‘*representative*’? Is it permissible to vote for fussaag, fujjaar and kuffaar who will compulsorily act in conformity with the law of jaahiliyyah which legalizes immorality, gayism, lesbianism, homosexuality, abortion and many other acts and practices in total and violent conflict with Islam?

Despite all the voting and bootlicking, politicians continue to turn a blind eye towards their voters. These politicians who are voted into power by the masses have miserably failed to attend to even the very basic needs of their own non-Muslim communities on whose votes they are reliant for leading their fat-cat lifestyles. And it will be worse when the one whom you voted for has not been elected. So, the elected representative does not represent you in terms of the Jamiat’s corrupt understanding.

So do you expect them to listen to your voice after bootlicking them and groveling for honour at their napaak feet?

Turn to Allah and Allah will hear you. There is no need to violate the Shariah for our voices to be heard. Allah hears us and that is enough for us who believe in the One and Only Allah. But the ‘gods’ and ‘goddesses’ of Ebrahim Bham’s Jamiat and of the deviates and fussiaaq of the bogus uucsa cartel seem to be the politicians and government officials. Instead of focusing on Allah Ta’ala as He commands in the Qur’aan and Hadith, these deviates and mudhilleen totally abandon Allah Ta’ala and turn for help and sympathy to the shadows who cannot move an iota without Allah’s decree. According to Bham, his deviated Jamiat and the Radio Shaytaan clique, all power seems to be in the hands of the Kuffaar governments and politicians, yet they stupidly and ludicrously quote the Qur’aanic verse which says that all power and honour belong to only Allah Ta’ala.

There are many examples of people voting for the party, but the party does almost nothing for the people. How many places have proper water facilities, decent homes, safety, hygiene, employment and good roads? Millions are without homes whilst the politicians are, without exception, all fat cats scamming and leeching on the taxes they so oppressively impose on the citizens.

For decades people are living in dangerous and appallingly unhygienic conditions while the politicians live in palaces and mansions which they have acquired from the taxes of the citizens. Tens of billions of rands are being frauded from the public treasury, but the prices of even essential foodstuff continue to rise. These oppressive price increases affect only the poor ignorant voters. As for the politicians, just look at the exorbitant monthly stipends they call ‘salaries’ being paid out of public funds to sustain their wasteful lifestyle of satanic luxury. The argument of Bham’s Jamiat is putrid and baseless. They should at least banish the nifaaq from their hearts and declare openly that they are politicians subscribing to the tenets of the secular kufr constitution. They should remove their ‘molvi’ masks of deception and fraud.

The problem with these so-called Molvis of the Jamiat is that their gaze is not on Allah. Their gazes and minds have been diverted from the Aakhirah to the Dunya. Thus, they barter away the Shariah for political and worldly agendas!

3. Uttering a blatant lie, these Jamiat morons state: *“And if we don’t vote we are telling our politicians that we don’t care what they do and that is a dangerous thing to tell them.”*

Maybe the one who wrote the above must have been drunk or was suffering from the effects of smoking dagga or some other substance abuse.

First care about the Shariah and the Sunnah. But No! The Zindeeqs are in the forefront promoting and defending Kuffaar politics, un-Islamic voting and Kufr systems of governance.

Since it is our right not to vote, how can we be accused of having a don't-care attitude? Even the Kuffaar don't accuse us of such nonsense. Innumerable non-Muslims abstain from voting. But this Jamiat accuses Muslims of not caring if they do not participate in the Kufr system which the Jamiat acknowledges to be '*a non-Islamic system*'.

Instead of telling and encouraging Muslims of caring about the laws of Allah and the Sunnah, these Jamiat chaps are more worried of gaining government recognition and bootlicking the politicians. For them the Shariah has no importance.

Consider the amount of Haraam weddings and other acts and practices of immorality taking place in Gauteng and the rest of the country. Yet, the Jamiat does not write a single article against it. On the contrary, they participate in these Haraam weddings. So, they don't care about the Laws of Allah. That is why they were at the Haraam marriage conference which was hosted, attended, sponsored and promoted by only deviates and Zindeeqs – only 'Muslims' by name!

The ones who don't really care are the Jamiat NNB Mudhilleen. The Ulama-e-Haq did not give them the

title NNB for no valid reason. (NNB means No Name Brand – worthless *Katchra* variety of so-called Ulama)

In terms of the Hadeeth, this JamiatSA of the Bham-Jeena-Ragie-Ravat cartel are in the category of Katchra (Gutha – rubbish) mentioned in the Hadith.

Rasulullah (Sallallahu Alayhi Wasallam) said:

“A time will soon dawn when the worst of the people under the canopy of the sky will be their ulama. From them will emerge fitnah, and the fitnah will rebound on them.”

“The Saalihoon will depart (from the world) one after the other (in quick succession). Then there will remain only hufaalah (scum, chaff, rubbish) like the hufaalah of barley or dates. Allah will have no care for them whatsoever.”

Thus, it is observed that this Jamiat has a lot of time for bootlicking the government, but no time and no inclination for *Amr Bil Ma’roof* and *Nahi Anil Munkar*. Their only game is Name and Fame, publicity stunts and condemning the Ulama-e-Haq apart from their primary objective of misleading the Ummah!

(3) FREEDOM

The Zindeeq Bham-Jamiat chaps further state:

‘Voting helps to safeguard our freedoms. A democratic community can only survive if its citizens see participation in the political process as a duty and a responsibility.’

Response:

1. This is incorrect. Allah safeguards our Freedoms – not the Kuffaar and not by voting! The gaze of this Jamiat is not on Allah – but on the Kuffaar. Muslims should reform and strengthen their bond with Allah Ta’ala. Our Freedom is in Allah’s control – not in the control of the Kuffaar!

2. The Jamiat Fordsburg have failed to even present one Shar’i basis for its corrupt, haraam belief that voting or participating in Kufr politics is a duty and a responsibility. Which Qur’aanic aayat or which Hadith or which Fatwa of the Fuqaha makes participation in kufr politics Waajib? The Fordsburg Jamiat Shayaateen should present Shar’i proof for their claims – not rubbish.

It is our duty and responsibility to abstain from participating in the political process where our Deen and Imaan have to be compromised. This is Waajib, not participation in the system of jaahiliyyah which is haraam. The corruption of the Imaan of these jamiat fellows has made them totally blind. They are unable to differentiate between Islamic systems and Kuffaar systems of governance!!!

3. The Jamiat speaks of a *democratic community*. What does the Shariah say about democracy? The Qur'aan clearly states that if you follow the majority, you will be misled from the Path of Allah. This is precisely the case with this Fordsburg Jamiat, their Radio Shaytaan and not-to-forget the SANHA-Shaytaan.

The Jamiat should bring forth their proofs to substantiate democracy which is in violent conflict with the Shariah. Are they so ignorant that they don't even know the basic aspects of Islamic political theory? Democracy and every other kufr system have no place in Islam!

(4) ENDORSING THEIR POLICIES

Trying to wriggle themselves out of the mess, the Zindeeqs state: *'It may be asked whether by voting for a particular party we are not endorsing all their policies which are based on man-made laws (secular law).*

The answer is NO; this is not necessarily the case. No one should imagine that anyone who says that it is OK to vote is thereby expressing approval or support for disbelief. It is done in the interests of the Muslims, not out of love for disbelief.'

Response:

1. It is so obvious that a Muslim may not endorse man-made Kufr Laws. Endorsement of Kufr is Kufr. However, when you vote, you are automatically assisting in the political process of the party you voted for. This is common-sense.

If the Jamiat does not endorse all the policies of Fisq, Fujoor and Kufr of the Kuffaar, then why are they endorsing the un-Islamic system of voting? By their promotion of participation in kuffaar politics they are in reality encouraging Muslims to vote for haraam, immorality – fisq, fujoor and kufr regardless of what their beliefs may be on these issues. Their very support for a haraam system must be taken as support for the kufr, fisq and fujoor of that system.

And if they are not endorsing everything of the Kuffaar governments, then what are they endorsing of the Kuffaar governments? Everything of the kufr system is in conflict with Islam. Even their proclamations of justice and freedom are un-Islamic. Their concepts of justice, etc. are widely divergent from the Islamic systems.

2. The Jamiat fails to explain the Shar'i status of voting. They have failed because the voting system is un-Islamic which they themselves have termed as '*non-Islamic system*'.

3. '*Expressing approval or support for disbelief*' is Kufr. The entire system of Kuffaar governance is Kufr. Expressing approval and support for a '*non-*

Islamic system’ which is a Kufr system, is likewise Kufr. The Jamiat has not condemned the un-Islamic system anywhere in their article. But, in fact, they are expressly defending their participation in Kuffaar politics. Their weak attempt to wriggle themselves out of the Kufr mess of Kufr politics which they so dearly support, does not assist them in the least.

4. The Jamiat claims that voting is ‘*done in the interests of the Muslims*’. When the voting system is un-Islamic, then how is it done in the interests of Muslims? How can an un-Islamic system be in the interest of Muslims?

If voting is the only issue which the Fordsburg Jamiat advocates participation in, as implied, then why are the Fordsburg Jamiat Zindeeqs and Radio Shaytaan deviates involved in Haraam election debates with women and men mixed?

A little pork which is Halaal for a starving man in the absence of finding even leaves to consume in order to save his life, never means that a little pork for a non-starving man is permissible. The system of voting is un-Islamic and Haraam in the same way as pork is Haraam!

Furthermore, which candidate of any political party will correctly serve the interests of the Muslim community? Name them! All are fussaaq, fujjaar and zindeeqs, and some are even atheists. They have no relationship with the Shariah.

Who is the candidate who will serve the interests of Muslims? Which interests will he serve? Let him name these so-called interests. Who is that faajir, faasiq who has Islam at heart? If any ‘Muslim’ candidate truly has Islam at heart, and if he truly understands what Islam is and what is the objective of Islam, never will his haraam picture be displayed on lamp poles and in toilets. He will never be found to be in a mixed crowd of men and women. He will not be kowtowing and bootlicking. He will not soil himself in the deluge of haraam, fisq and fujoor which are imperative requisites of elections and governance. At every turn there is fisq, fujoor and kufr.

Whilst the Jamiat NNB advises Muslims to vote for a candidate who *will serve the interests of Muslims*, it does not advise who these candidates are. Since there are no such candidates, the moron molvis failed to proffer this advice.

They would rather, in their bootlicking stance, imply that every person should simply vote for any candidate of the party the Jamiatul Juhala is supporting.

Every candidate will at the best be a faajir/faasiq for whom voting is haraam. When the gaze is diverted from Allah Ta’ala, then there is no one to serve our interest. The Qur’aan Majeed states:

“And, if He (Allah) withholds (aid) from you, then who is there besides Him to aid you?”

5. And whilst the NNB Jamiat state that this is not done '*out of love for disbelief*', it is clear that these NNB deviates are *in love* with the systems of these disbelievers. Thus, they promote and defend the un-Islamic system of voting and even the un-Islamic systems of slaughtering, the intermingling and un-Islamic system prevalent at their so-called *Muslim* Schools, the un-Islamic weddings, the un-Islamic '*Marriage Conference*' and the list of Haraam acts don't seem to have an end by these Fordsburg-NNB Mudhilleen.

Furthermore, the MMB (Muslim Marriages Bill) which this Jamiat is pushing for, is a Kufr Bill. Whether it is promoted without *love for disbelief*, it is Kufr as it militates against the Shariah. It places a direct restriction on polygamy. And it demotes the Shariah, making it fit for kufr interpretation by secular judges. If this is not Kufr, then what is it???

6. The Jamiat Fordsburg has reiterated the term '*disbelief*' (kufr) a few times in their article which abortively attempts to defend the un-Islamic system of voting. Why has the Fordsburg Jamiat mentioned the terms disbelief and Kufr in their article? This is because they themselves are aware that the politics they are defending, is in fact Kufr politics. They are looking for a loophole to participate in such baatil, hence the need for them to clarify that they do not love, approve or support disbelief!

Love, approval or support of Kufr renders one a Kaafir according to this Fordsburg Jamiat which is 100 percent correct. Now, what will be the ruling of a person who loves, approves, supports or indulges in systems which are Kufr? The ruling is the same. The supporters of kufr systems which embroils Muslims in many haraam acts, are guilty of kufr.

Consider the fact that Moulana Thanwi Rahimahullah declared stunning of an animal to be **‘akin to Kufr’** as it displaces the Islamic system of slaughter (Imdaadul Fatawaa). Now what to say about support for and participation in the Kuffaar system of governance? Giving the answer, the Qur’aan Kareem says:

“Those who do not govern according to that (Shariah) revealed by Allah, indeed they are the kaafiroon.”

“Those who do not govern according to that (Shariah) revealed by Allah, indeed they are the zaalimoom.”

“Those who do not govern according to that (Shariah) revealed by Allah, indeed they are the faasiqoon.”

(5) CARTE BLANCHE

Once again, The NNB Fussaaq claim: *‘Our support for a party is therefore a qualified endorsement and*

not simply a carte blanche sanction of all that a party espouses in its election manifesto.'

Response:

1. Which *party* are you morons supporting? Who should Muslims vote for? What support are you giving to *a party*? And why are you supporting *a party*? Name the party!!! And explain the imagined goodness for which Muslims are encouraged to vote for the kufr system.

2. The Jamiat speaks of *a qualified endorsement* without elaboration. What are you endorsing? What do you endorse of the party you are supporting? Explain your silly concept of '*qualified endorsement*'. Which acts and laws of the system do you endorse? Does the party you are supporting have any representative who is not a Faasiq? If not, then how do you endorse such a party, albeit qualified?

3. What do you sanction of the party with regards to what is espoused in its election manifesto? Do you agree with Muslims going to churches? Do you agree with Muslims marching on the roads and shouting out slogans like hooligans? Do you agree with Muslims indulging in intermingling of the sexes for the benefit of the party you are supporting?

What do you sanction of the party and please do name all the parties you are referring to? Being vague does not assist the Ummah in the least. Be brave enough to

name the party or parties you deviates of the Fordsburg Jamiat are in favour of.

You Fordsburg Mudhilleen do not observe Shar'i Hijaab, but why are you concealing the name of the party you support? Why keep the name of your party in Hijaab? What do you fear? State the party which you feel is serving Islam? Name the party and come out of the Shaitaani so-called Hijaab of concealing the name of the party you support.

Furthermore, the entire system, ideology and methodology of elections and governance are in conspicuous conflict with the Shariah. What are the hues of Islam which these moron molvis discern in the institution they are supporting and advising Muslims to support?

(6) THE ROMANS

In an abortive attempt to defend their support for a party, The NNB Munaafiqeen state:

“The Muslims rejoiced when the Romans defeated the Persians, as did the Muslims in Abyssinia (Ethiopia) when the Negus defeated those who had challenged his authority. This was not in any way condoning kufr but rather looking at the long-term welfare of Muslims.”

Response:

1. The Muslims, i.e. the Sahaabah Radhiyallahu Anhum did not bootlick the Romans, the Quraish, the Majoosis (Fire-worshippers) or any other Kaafir as every Muslim who knows a little of the Sahaabah, would be aware of. They did not participate in any political ventures of the Romans or any other Kuffaar!

2. Why did the Muslims rejoice when the Romans defeated the Persians? The very *Shaan-e-Nuzool* of Surah Room proves that this Shaitaani Jamiat of Bham-Jeena is misleading the Ummah with their ignorance and deviation.

The Quraish and the Persians were not people who followed any *Holy Book*. And the Quraish likened the Romans to the Muslims since both were were '*People of the Book*'. The Romans were Nasaara, i.e. they were regarded as people of the Injeel and we Muslims have the Qur'aan as our Holy Book. Many sincere Nasaara who received the Message of Islam, accepted the Deen. The Nasaara were on a better pedestal than the Persian fire-worshippers. The mere emotional attachment which Muslims had for the Nasaara, and their abhorrence for fire-worship, did not induce them to bootlick the Romans or to engage in interfaith dialogue with them, or to compromise any tenet or belief of Islam or to indulge in any haraam practice advocated by the Romans. In fact, the Romans were not even aware of the emotional bias of the Muslims for them.

Thus, when the Persians defeated the Romans, the Quraish regarded this as a good omen and they concluded that the Quraish would defeat the Muslims. The Quraish compared themselves to the Persians and they compared the Romans to the Muslims. Upon this, the initial verses of Surah Room were revealed.

Within approximately seven years after the Romans were defeated, Allah Ta'ala granted the Romans victory over the Persians and at Badr the Muslims defeated the Quraish.

The Muslims did not side with the Romans. The Muslims did not vote for the Romans. The Muslims did not fight alongside the Romans to defeat the Persians. The rejoicing of the Sahaabah was merely to thank Allah for granting the Romans a victory over the Persians. And in this, was the glad tidings of the Muslims' victory over the Quraish. And accordingly, the Muslims defeated the Quraish in Badr.

The Sahaabah did not vote or participate in any political aspects of the Roman government!

The Fordsburg Jamiat should present facts instead of presenting superficial devoid of valid Shar'i or even logical substance. They should also study Bayaanul Qur'aan of Moulana Thanwi and the Tafseer Kutub before blurting out nonsense in the name of the Qur'aan Majeed and the Sahaabah Radhiyallahu Anhum. Don't be like the Shias and misuse the

Qur'aan and Sahaabah to prove participation and indulgence in Kufr!!!

3. What relationship is there between the happiness and joy of the Muslims when the Romans defeated the Persians and voting for a Kaafir party and participating in un-Islamic politics?

4. The argument of '*long term welfare of Muslims*' is plain nonsense. The short term as well as the long term welfare of Muslims is in the Will and Decree of Allah Ta'ala. What is this '*long term welfare*' of Muslims guaranteed by voting for a haraam system? Our benefit and welfare can not be gained and guarded by a system which is in conflict with Islam. Also there is no relationship between Haraam voting and Muslims fighting a Jihad against the Quraish. In Jihad the struggle is against the kuffaar and their systems, but in voting for the kufr system there is no Jihad against it.

The incident of the Persians defeating the Christians has got nothing to do with voting. We are not speaking of a Jihad here. Our discussion pertains to voting for unholy and un-Islamic political systems.

5. The Fordsburg Jamiat suggests that the Sahaabah Radhiyallahu Anhum participated in something un-Islamic (*nauthubillah*) as they were *looking at the long-term welfare of Muslims*.

But such a suggestion is blatantly false. The Sahaabah Radhiyallahu Anhum did not participate in any Kufr system or any moronic system of voting! Furthermore, the Fordsburg Jamiat should explain what long term welfare were the Sahabah looking at when the Romans defeated the Persians? We have already explained the issue in much detail above.

6. Furthermore, after a few years, the Sahaabah fought against the Romans. The Sahaabah opposed even the dressing of the Romans. The Sahaabah did not adopt the Roman System of governance. They did not adopt the system of voting or any other kuffaar system. So what have the deviates gained by quoting the Muslims and the Romans???

However, you Jamiat Fordsburg chaps have a Kufr attitude. Your gaze is on the government. The gaze of the Sahaabah was on Allah – not on the Romans. Study history properly and mark the differences!

(7) THE MUSLIMS & NAJAASHI

Furthermore, the deviates are implying that the Sahaabah Radhiyallahu Anhum and the Hijrat of the Sahaabah to Abyssinia embraced kuffaar systems. The Fordsburg Jamiat deviates stated:

“as did the Muslims in Abyssinia (Ethiopia) when the Negus defeated those who had challenged his authority.”

Response:

The arguments of the Fordsburg Jamiat are baseless, devoid of Shar'i substance. It is difficult to accept that they are 'Ulama' as they don't seem to have any grasp on sound Islamic history nor do they understand what is written in the Kutub.

The Sahaabah Radhiyallahu Anhum did not participate in any kuffaar system to achieve their objectives. They did not participate in Kufr politics.

We quote a section from Sharah As-Siyarul Kabeer of Allamah Sarakhsi Rahimahullah which will clearly illustrate the deception of the spineless opportunists.

Hazrat Ummi Salma Radhiyallahu Anhaa (who was among the people that had migrated to Abyssinia) narrates: *"When we had settled comfortably in Abyssinia, we found ourselves in a good land and in good company. We could worship our Lord in peace, until one day enemy forces arrived to confront King Najaashi and his people.*

We had never yet experienced a bigger threat than this. We said to each other: 'If the opposing King defeats Najaashi, he (the enemy) will not grant us the same rights as were accorded to us by Najaashi'. We then all made sincere dua that Allah grant victory to Najaashi. When the battle commenced Hazrat Zubair bin Awwaam (Radhiyallahu Anhu) volunteered to act as an observer and bring us the outcome of the

conflict. In the meantime, we all continued our supplications in earnest before Allah. After some time, we noticed a rider splashing across the river Nile waving a cloth. It was Zubair bin Awwaam. As he approached he shouted out to us: “Good news! Allah has granted victory to Najaashi, and has destroyed his enemies, and Allah has once more established the rule of King Najaashi over Abyssinia.”

The facts are as follows:

1. The migration of the Sahaaba (Radhiyallahu Anhum) to Abyssinia and their request for protection in that country was not due to any political reasons. What have voting for a kufr system to do with this *hijrat* (emigration), which was solely to escape the persecution of the Quraish in Makkah and be able to practice their faith? The Hijrat Journey was not participation in a kufr system.

2. The Sahaaba were not political figures. They were not involved in a political struggle against the Quraish of Makkah. It was the battle between Tauheed and Shirk. Rasulullah Sallallahu Alaihi Wasallam time and again reminded the Quraish that he had not come to usurp power from the leaders.

Taking refuge in Abyssinia was the lesser of the evils. The first evil was to live under a cruel, oppressive mushrik government in Makkah and be subjected to persecution and torture. In addition, they could not perform even Salaah in public. They had to conceal

their Deen. Currently, this is not the situation here in South Africa. Comparing our scenario with the delicate situation of the Sahaabah Radhiyallahu Anhum is stupid and ridiculous.

3. The other option which the Sahaabah were faced with, was to live under the protection of a benevolent, pious king of the Ahl-e-Kitab who accorded them honour, respect, protection and the full freedom to practice Islam. In fact, he (i.e. King Najaashi) embraced Islam.

It should be abundantly clear that the presence of the Muslims in Abyssinia was not participation in kufr politics. Rather, it was seeking refuge in a friendly country without participating in its politics.

Since they knew of the noble reputation of King Najaashi, Rasulullah Sallallahu Alaihi Wasallam felt the best course to avoid the persecution of the kuffaar was to seek the protection and sanctuary of his kingdom. For this reason, the Sahaaba never became embroiled in the politics of his country, nor even in the battles that Najaashi waged against his enemies. In the above narration, the Sahaabah did not participate in the war which Najaashi fought against his enemies.

This scenario too does not exist in South Africa.

4. The contention that the Sahaabah had migrated to Habash to support or participate in the political system

of that country is totally baseless. There is not a shred of evidence to bolster this stupid idea calculated by the deviates for deceiving the ignorant ones. Furthermore, Najaashi had embraced Islam during the period that the Sahaabah were under his protection. The Sahaabah only had the Message of Islam for Najaashi, and nothing besides this.

Thus, this incident can in no way be used to prove political participation by the Sahaabah in a non-Muslim government. Living under the rule and shelter of a non-Muslim government (as we find ourselves here in South Africa) cannot by any stretch of the imagination be construed as participation in the political policies and legislation of the government of the day. Thus, the fallacy of the argument presented here is self-evident and glaring.

5. The most that can be said is that a genuine Muslim will be pleased and will appreciate the limited freedom of religion granted by the government. We can only make Dua for our Islamic freedom, our Dua will be efficacious only if we fully submit to the Shariah and Pleasure of Allah Ta'ala with obedience. And that is all what the Sahaabah did. They made Dua for the sake of their Deen. They did not participate in silly rallies, stupid debates and other hooligan antics which are related to Kuffaar politics and all the campaigning attached to it.

The Zindeeqs of the Fordsburg Jamiat should explain which party they vote for and upon the victory of

which party will they rejoice? And why will they rejoice? For Islam or for the sake of changing the Deen? For example, will they be happy with the Kuffaar who are for the Kufr so-called Muslim Marriages Bill or with those who are against the Kufr so-called ‘Muslim’ Marriages Bill???

Kuffaar politics is not the Tareeqah of Islam and the way of the Sahaabah. Abstaining from any act or practice which does not conform to Islamic teaching and doctrines is what we learn from the Sahaabah.

Nabi Sallallahu Alayhi Wasallam said:

“Honour my Sahaabah...”

“Adhere compulsorily to my Sunnah and the Sunnah of my rightly -guided Khulafa.”

“My Sahaabah are like the Stars (of Guidance) . . .”

(8) LONG TERM WELFARE OF MUSLIMS

Regarding the rejoicing of Muslims over the Romans and the Muslims in Abyssinia, the Fordsburg NNB Jamiat Fussaaq state: *“This was not in any way condoning kufr but rather looking at the long term welfare of Muslims.”*

Response:

Participating in any haraam political system can never be beneficial for the long term welfare of Muslims. Haraam is never beneficial for Muslims – neither in the short term, nor the long term!

Furthermore, the Sahaabah Radhiyallahu Anhum did not participate in any Kufr system nor were they involved in Kufr politics as has been explained above. It is an evil deception committed by the NNB deviates to mislead Muslims into believing that the Sahaabah had participated in the political system of the Romans or even in the system of Najaashi.

(9) NON-ISLAMIC SYSTEMS

The Jamiat expressing their Nifaaq, state: *“Participation in a non-Islamic system does not necessarily mean participation in disbelief. It depends on the nature of this participation.”*

Subhaanallah! Is this Fordsburg Jamiat really an Ulama council?

How can participation in a non-Islamic system ever be permissible? This then brings us to the following questions:

A – When the system is un-Islamic, why did the Fordsburg Jamiat encourage people to register for voting and why are they promoting voting when the system is un-Islamic?

B – Why quote Qur’aanic Aayats to support an un-Islamic system?

Acknowledging the Kufr nature of Kufr politics, the Fordsburg Jamiat states: *‘It depends on the nature of this participation’*!!! Participation in a haraam system is Haraam. In addition to the system being un-Islamic, even the Fordsburg ‘Jamiat’ is aware that some types of participation renders one a Kaafir, hence they state *‘It depends on the nature of this participation’*.

However, the impermissibility of participating in the Kufr system is not dependent on the nature of the participation. Any type of participation in Kufr politics is impermissible. How can participation in an un-Islamic system ever be permissible? *Participation in a non-Islamic system* is undoubtedly and necessarily impermissible.

The Fordsburg Jamiat has also attempted to defend its Haraam participation in Kuffaar politics as well as its bootlicking of the Kuffaar authorities by averring that *‘participation in disbelief... depends on the nature of this participation’*. We give you a few examples of Kufr which this Jamiat is guilty of:

1. Their president of the so-called UUCSA, Ihsaan Taliep, attended an interfaith in a cathedral hosted for Kathrada.
2. Ismail Vadi was recently in a church whilst campaigning for his party.

3. Ebrahim Bham was at a stadium where he eulogized Mandela and praised the deceased. He even recited a few verses of Surah Faatihah at this Kufr interfaith gathering. He perpetrated glaring kufr.

4. Once again, regarding Ebrahim Bham and the entire Kathrada funeral saga, we had written a book on this haraam saga. Kathrada was even buried in the non-Muslim section of the cemetery. Here again, Bham was involved in interfaith kufr.

5. And then, what about when Ebrahim Bham had to bootlick the Kuffaar in September 2011 by delivering a sermon in the church under a dozen crosses, like a Christian Reverend.

And there are several more examples too. The above suffices to explain the Kufr of participating in Kufr politics. Nabi Sallallahu Alayhi Wasallam said:

“He who emulates a people (nation), he is part of them.”

Allah Ta’ala states in the Qur’aan Majeed:

“Those who do not govern according to that (Shariah) revealed by Allah, indeed they are the kaafiroon.”

“Those who do not govern according to that (Shariah) revealed by Allah, indeed they are the zaalimoom.”

“Those who do not govern according to that (Shariah) revealed by Allah, indeed they are the faasiqoon.”

Those who profess to be Muslims, but participate in kufr governance are ***kaafiroon***, ***zaalimoon*** and ***faasiqoon*** in terms of the Qur’aan Majeed. The entire fabric of governance in both Muslim and non-Muslim countries is kufr and haraam. How can it ever be permissible for Muslims to be a party to such governance?

A Muslim of healthy Imaan does not require a high level of Deeni Ilm to understand the haraam drivel which Bham’s Jamiat has disgorged. These *mudhilleen* are experts in executing the job of Iblees – the job of paving the pathway to Jahannam.

Allah Ta’ala has created us for the Aakhirah, not for this dunya. Participating in the *najaasat* of kuffaar politics is tantamount to kufr. It hardens the heart, blights the intelligence and seriously corrodes Imaan.

It is not permissible for Muslims to indulge in activities which divert the mind and heart from the Objective of the Aakhirah. Every step in the process of kufr government is violently in conflict with the Shariah. But this Fordsburg Jamiat Shayaateen are not interested in the Shariah!

Hitherto, the Fordsburg Jamiat has failed to present even one valid argument in defence of the un-Islamic political system. Let us now proceed to respond to the

views of the Mudhilleen Scholars whom these Munaafiqeen have quoted.

THE VIEWS OF SCHOLARS

In a supine attempt to give some silly credence to their Baatil opinion regarding participation in voting, the Fordsburg Jamiat quotes the view of some ‘scholars’. But, each and every scholar they have quoted, are Faasiq. In fact, this Jamiat themselves are Fussaaq or worse.

Whilst it is a scenario of the blind leading the blind, to which we do not need to pay attention, a brief response will be presented to the drivels because the Mudhilleen are only good at misleading the Ummah.

(A) FAASIQ IBN ADAM AL-KAWTHARI

This Mudhil is a student of Faasiq ‘Mufti’ Taqi Uthmaani who has strayed from the Path of the Sunnah having become a westernized liberal.

The Fordsburg Jamiat quotes the following of Al-Kawthari:

“...In a situation where there is no worthy candidate (as in non-Muslim countries, where at least the ideologies and beliefs of the relevant parties are contrary to the teachings of Shariah), then the vote should be given to the one who is the better and more trust worthy than the other candidates...”

1. This advice is baseless. The candidates and the system are haraam in the very first place. There is currently no necessity to vote in South Africa. It is stupid to quote a UK Scholar for the South African scenario.

2. This Muhammad Ibn Adam Al-Kawthari does not seem to understand the principles of Fiqh if the deviate feels his view is applicable to South Africa as well. We are currently not facing an unavoidable crisis.

If such a situation develops that a Muslim is torn between two evils, both of which are unavoidable, and as such he is forced to choose between either one then most certainly the fihi principle of choosing the lesser evil will apply.

In the coming general elections of this country the Muslim is not facing a situation where he will be compelled to select one of two evils. If the elections are genuinely the effects of the system dubbed ‘democracy’, as is claimed, then the right to vote is the prerogative of the individual. No one is forced to vote. The question of compulsory voting does not apply. By opting not to vote, one is merely exercising one's democratic right – a right bestowed to the citizens by the constitution which is the great ‘god’ of the democracy of this country. But Islam does not subscribe to this system, hence Muslims do not have the right to vote for a kufr system. A Muslim cannot walk along two divergent pathways.

Continuing, the deviate states: *“Vote should be given to the candidate that one believes will give people their rights, prevent oppression, and so on... If it is thought that a particular candidate or party will be of benefit to the general public in their day to day affairs, then the vote should be given to him.”*

Indeed, this Muhamamd ibn Adam Al-Kawthari is a jaahil. Far from protecting or even being able to protect Muslims from oppression, every candidate is inimical to Islam. The duty of the Ulama is to constantly remind the Muslim community that the oppression of governments is the consequence of the oppression we commit against our own souls and the Law of Allah Ta’ala.

Bootlicking is not the answer for the oppression of the rulers. The solution is to submit to Allah Ta’ala as true slaves. Promoting an institution is not permissible at the cost of violating Allah’s Laws. Every step and act in electioneering, elections and voting is in conflict with the Shariah. The moral and social lifestyle of Muslims is absolutely putrid and corrupt. That is why Muslims are today suffering from the disease of bootlicking and fear of shadows.

Besides *Inaabat ilallaah* (turning with repentance and obedience to Allah Ta’ala), nothing and no one can save Muslims from the oppression of the rulers because such oppression is a facet of Divine Chastisement.

When even molvis are ignorant of this reality, what shall be said about the lamentable and pitiful condition of the ignorant masses who are shoved further into the quagmire of *jahaalat*, *fisq* and *fujoor* by the juhala molvis and sheikhs of this age?

Since these jaahil scholars have lost their Imaani bearings, the truths of the *Ta'leem* of the Akaabireen remain incomprehensible hieroglyphics to them.

They have become experts in only talking drivel, bootlicking, compromising the Shariah with illegitimate bedfellows, halaalizing carrion, riba, pictography, *fisq* and *fujoor*. These evils have become their salient features. Islamically they are not just stagnant in their thinking; they are incrementally retrogressive. They no longer have the ability of distinguishing between Haqq and baatil.

Coming to the end of his drivel, The Fordsburg Jamiat quotes him as follows:

“At times, voting becomes necessary. Sayyiduna Abu Bakr (ra) narrates that the Messenger of Allah (saw) said: “If people see an oppressor and don’t prevent him, then it is very likely that Allah will include all of them in the punishment” (Sunan Tirmizi & Sunan Abu Dawud).

Therefore, if you see open oppression and transgression, and despite having the capability of

preventing this oppression by giving your vote, you don't do so, then in the light of this Hadith you will be sinful..."

[Mufti Muhammad ibn Adam al-Kawthari, Dar al-Iftaa; Darul Uloom; student of Mufti Taqi Usmani]"

1) He says '*at times, voting becomes necessary.*' So it means that at other times, it is not necessary!

So at which times is it necessary to vote? What makes voting necessary? Voting for a kufr system is normally impermissible, so what makes it necessary to constrain us to vote in the current political scenario here in South Africa?

2) It is silly to equate voting to the issue of preventing an oppressor from committing oppression. The biggest of oppressors are these Ulama-e-Soo who are misleading the masses to Jahannam.

3) Nahi Anil Munkar is undoubtedly made against transgression and oppression by the Ulama-e-Haq. It is the Mudhilleen such as Bham's Jamiat who are sinful for conducting themselves like Dumb Shaytaans. Why are they silent against all the Haraam which take place in Kufr politics and in the lives of Muslims? Why are they silent against all the Kufr propagated by deviates in the name of Deen? Why are they silent against the Moulood Bid'ah? Why are they silent against all the Haraam which Muslims are indulging in? All of these dumb devils are agents of Iblees who are setting the stage for Dajjaal.

4) Prevention of oppression is done via permissible ways. Since voting for a kufr system is haraam, it is *tahreef* of the *Nusoos* to say that according to the Hadeeth, one will be sinful if one does not vote. It is the height of kufr. It is the effect of bootlicking the West.

(B) FAASIQ SAJJAD NOMANI

Quoting Sajjad Nomani, the Fordsburg Jamiat states:
“All efforts should be made, bearing in mind the political situation of a particular country, to ensure full participation in the political process. This is an absolute necessity. Muslims should not be reticent about their involvement in this regard. Rather, they should make progress in this matter.”
[Maulana Khalilul Rahman Sajjad Nomani Nadwi, Darul Uloom Nadwatul]

Indeed, this Molvi Khalilur Rahman Sajjad Nomani does not know what he is speaking. How can a Muslim participate in a system which is in conflict with the Shariah? Is his brain taking right? How can he confer absolute certitude of rectitude and incumbency in Shar’i terms to a kufr system? Is this mudhil a repository of Divine Revelation delivered to him by an Angel? Most certainly something is amiss in his brains.

Muslims should attend to their moral reformation to gain the Proximity of Allah Ta’ala. He is our

protector. The Qur'aan Majeed states: *“And Allah protects you against the people.”* Our gaze is on creatures because of the extreme deficiency of our Imaan. Our hearts are bereft of Allah's *khauf*, hence we suffer from the malady of fear for shadows.

Once Rasulullah (Sallallahu Alayhi Wasallam) informed the Sahaabah that a time will dawn *“when Allah will eliminate from the hearts of our enemies fear for us, and He will implant in our hearts wahn.”*

When he was asked to explain 'wahn', Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) said: *“Love for the dunya and dislike for Maut.”* Nabi-e-Kareem (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) furthermore said that at such a time Muslims *“will be rubbish, like the flotsam of flood waters.”*

(C) FAASIQ HAITHAM AL-HADDAD

The following is what the Zindeeqs have quoted:

“Muslims living under a dominant democratic system which they cannot change in the near future should understand their situation in all its various facets. Muslims believe that ultimate justice, peace and coherence cannot be achieved unless the divine system is dominant. In many cases they are unable to achieve this in the foreseeable future. So what shall they do until they reach this stage? They are living under a kufr system where either party A, B or C will

be in power. People have the privilege to choose among these parties. If you do not choose any of them you are not going to change the system since one of them will still be in power. You might say that if all people were not to choose any of them then the system will change. This might be true; however it is almost impossible for this to take place in the foreseeable future in all so called democratic non-Muslims countries. So the question arises that until the system changes, what shall we, the Muslims do? Any sane person would say that abstaining from selecting the least evil option would only leave room for the more evil option to win. It is a very simple and straight forward equation.” (Shaykh Haitham al-Haddad)

1 – This Haitham Al-Haddad has failed to realise that our leaders are in fact a reflection of our deeds. He and other Ulama fail to realise the actual cause for the downfall of Muslims.

We have turned away from the Shariah and that is our downfall. Opting for Kuffaar systems will not improve the situation. On the contrary, it will make things worse. Consider Pakistan which has a majority Muslim population, yet Imran Khan came into power who seems to be in favour of the Murtad Qadianis. The problem is that they have not adopted the Islamic system of governance and the Muslim masses violate the Shariah. This is the main problem. Abstention from voting and from participating in Kuffaar styles of governance is necessary according to the Shariah.

2 – He states: *‘If you do not choose any of them you are not going to change the system since one of them will still be in power. You might say that if all people were not to choose any of them then the system will change.’*

This is impractical. Even if we vote or choose any of them, what guarantee do we have that a change will come? Kuffaar will still dominate over us, and this is because we deserve to be dominated because Allah Ta’ala has placed these kuffaar over us to rule and humiliate us. The kuffaar system will never change and become benevolent for Muslims as a result of Muslims voting for the kufr system.

But, if we turn to Allah, success is guaranteed. Success and change are in Allah’s Hands – not in the hands of the Kuffaar and Murtad governments in Muslim lands!

3 – Posing a question and offering the incorrect answer, he states:

‘So the question arises that until the system changes, what shall we, the Muslims do? Any sane person would say that abstaining from selecting the least evil option would only leave room for the more evil option to win. It is a very simple and straight forward equation.’

What should we do? The simple and straight forward equation is that we turn to Allah and not bootlick the

politicians and Kuffaar. And this is called sanity of the Muslim mind.

In our scenario Muslims are not faced with a choice of the lesser of two evils. All forces and parties in the system currently are evil in terms of the Shariah. Thus there is no adoption of the lesser evil. By voting, we won't be selecting the 'lesser evil option'. But, by abstaining, we would be adopting the right path which is currently the only valid option in our political scenario. Furthermore, this NNB Jamiat fails to expound on the lesser evil option.

When even so-called Muslim countries are governed by Murtads, then by what stretch of logic do these deviates expect us to participate in Kuffaar politics in non-Muslim countries?

When Kuffaar-style governance has ruined even so-called Muslim countries, then it is silly to expect Muslims to participate in Kufr politics in Kuffaar countries.

Currently, we are not torn between two evils which makes it necessary for Muslims to vote. This Haitham chap speaks of a democratic system, but fails to realise like a sane person that it is one's democratic and Islamic right to abstain from voting.

RESPONSE TO RADIO SHAYTAAN

It should be remembered that the Shaitaani so-called Radio Islam is the mouthpiece of Ebrahim Bham's Jamiat which shamelessly parades as 'JamiatSA'.

By perusing the article of Radio Shaytaan entitled '*Voting is not a right, it is a duty*', one finds contradictions between Radio Shaytaan's article and the article of the Fordsburg Jamiat.

Whilst the Jamiatul Munaafiqeen South Africa states: "*...therefore urges all eligible voters to exercise this responsibility, which is both a duty and a right*", Radio Shaytaan denies it being a right, but agrees to their Munaafiq-Zindeeq brothers that it is a duty.

Both Radio Shaytaan and Jamiatush Shayaateen South Africa are in the category of Zindeeqs and both birds of a feather are experts at licking the boots of the Kuffaar authorities.

1 – 'MOLVI' TAQI UTHMAANI

Radio Shaytaan commences their article as follows:

"Mufti Muhammad Taqi Uthmani, in his book ASRE HADIR MEIN ISLAM KAISE NAFIZ HO, has written "that the lack of interest towards elections is due to the fact that people have linked Deen (religion) only to Salaah, Fast, etc. they consider politics, economics

etc as totally “foreign.” This is why we see many in their private capacity dedicate and devote themselves to prayer but are quite reckless as far as other dealings are concerned... such are the people who regard elections as merely something of the Dunya having no connection to Deen, and have introduced into Deen various evils...”.

Response:

Firstly, we do not follow liberals, deviates, Fussaaq and Riba-scholars like ‘Mf’ Taqi Uthmaani. It is futile to quote such a Mudhil to us who no more belongs to the Ulama-e-Deoband and who has drifted very far away from the path of the Haq.

Secondly, the generalised statement of *‘the lack of interest towards elections is due to the fact that people have linked Deen (religion) only to Salaah, Fast, etc. they consider politics, economics etc as totally “foreign.”’*, is incorrect.

Whilst this might be applicable to some who have linked Deen to only Salaah, Fasting, etc. they are fortunate enough as they have been saved from the filth of Kuffaar politics.

Islam also has politics. But to link Islam to Kuffaar politics is incorrect. The western system of democracy has neither origin nor sanction in Islam. It is deviation to link Kuffar-style politics to Deen. On the contrary,

the Kuffaar systems of governance are foreign to Islam and the Deen.

How does ‘Mufti’ Taqi expect genuine Muslims to be interested in elections when the politics of his very own country, viz. Pakistan are alien to the Shar’i concepts of governing a country? He should direct his attention and focus more to the Murtad government of his very own country before commenting on the Kufr politics of other countries.

Thirdly, let us not introduce and link our Deen to Haraam politics. Those who profess to be Muslims, but participate in kufr governance are kaafiroon, zaalimoon and faasiqoon in terms of the Qur’aan Majeed. The entire fabric of governance in both Muslim and non-Muslim countries is kufr and haraam. How can it ever be permissible for Muslims to be a party to such governance?

‘Mufti’ Taqi should ponder over this reality!!! But, ‘Mufti’ Taqi himself seems to be a bootlicker like these Radio Shaytaan and NNB chaps.

Hazrat Umar Bin Khattaab (Radhiyallahu Anhu) said:
“Verily, the people of self-opinion are the enemies of the Sunnah.”

And, about such *juhhaal* the Qur’aan Hakeem says:
“And among the people is he who disputes in the (Shariah) of Allah without any knowledge, and he

follows every rebellious shaitaan.” (Aayat 3, Surah Hajj)

Consider female candidates in the government. What does ‘Mufti’ Taqi say about it? Here in South Africa, there are even shameless Muslim female candidates standing to become president. ‘Mufti’ Taqi and his NNB Jamiat friends should learn to make some Nahi anil Munkar against them, instead of speaking nonsense about participating in Haraam politics where the Shariah is abandoned for the sake of upholding Secular Law.

Rasulullah (Sallallahu Alayhi Wasallam) said: ***"Never ever will prosper a nation who assigns its affairs to a woman."*** This is undeniable patriarchy. It is the product of Allah's ordained patriarchy for the Muslim Ummah.

Again, Rasulullah (Sallallahu Alayhi Wasallam) said: ***"Relegate them to the back as Allah has placed them at the back."*** Women have to compulsorily take the back seat.

How many of these Muslim governments uphold the Qur'aanic system of Patriarchy? Are we to uphold the Shariah or bootlick the politicians and the rulers?

2 – ISLAMIC PRINCIPLES

The Juhala state the following: *“Whether we like it or not, elections with its various campaigns, voting and polling have to be borne with. What can we say to those who call for refraining from politics these days when it is labelled ‘dirty’ and ‘full of treachery’? No doubt decent people of fine character shy away from politics nowadays because of its offensive look which has tainted the very idea of an election to such an extent that whenever the subject of voting or election comes up, one’s mind immediately conjures up visions of treachery, lies, bribery and fraud. Therefore many remain far from politics and do not even exercise their participation in voting. This attitude, according to Islamic principles is incorrect and wrong.”*

a) Our concern is the Shariah. ‘Elections with its various campaigns, voting and polling’ are in conflict with the Shariah. It devolves upon Radio Shaytaan to prove that voting is not in conflict with the Shariah.

The methodology of appointing a leader in conflict with the ways of the Shariah, is undoubtedly Haraam. But Radio Shaytaan accepts and even defends this Kuffar-style methodology of electing leaders. That is why they are called Radio Shaytaan. They do the work of Shaytaan.

b) According to Islamic principles, one should abstain from this kuffaar system of voting. All decent

Muslims will not take part in Kuffaar politics. All candidates for whom people have to vote are promoters of Kufr and agents of Iblees. Voting thus means voting for Iblees. Total violation of Hijaab has to be incumbently committed in the process of registration and voting.

So we do not know what ‘*Islamic principles*’ Radio Shaytaan speaks about? Maybe they refer to the principles of Shaytaan, but even according to the Kuffaar, it is our right to abstain from voting.

At least the Kuffaar understand some things better than this Radio Shaytaan! They are incapable of understanding that the entire fabric of governance in both Muslim and non-Muslim countries is kufr and haraam. Yet, these Radio Shaytaan deviates utter drivel in the name of Islam.

3 – SURAH MAAIDAH – VERSE 9

Radio Shaytaan then states:

“Allah says in the ninth verse of Surah Maida in the Quran: “O ye who believe! Be steadfast witnesses for Allah in equity, and let not hatred of any people seduce you that ye deal not justly. Deal justly, that is nearer to your duty. Observe your duty to Allah. Lo! Allah is informed of what ye do.”

Response:

Firstly, the translation of the word Taqwa as duty in the Aayat is incorrect. They boast of having so many Ulama and Muftis in their organization, but they don't even know how to translate the word Taqwa correctly.

Allah Ta'ala says: ***Fear Allah! Observe Taqwa!*** Is it Taqwa to intermingle with ghair-Mahrams for the sake of voting? Is it Taqwa to vote for Fussaaq and Fujjaar? Is it Taqwa to campaign like a hooligan on the roads? Is it Taqwa to wear the dressing of the Kuffaar and their Haraam political T-shirts? Is it Taqwa to participate in governance which is in conflict with the Law of Allah??? Is it Taqwa to participate in interfaith Kufr? Is it Taqwa to attend churches, stadiums, memorials, etc. etc. and behave like reverends, pundits and priests?

And obviously, it must be asked: Is it Taqwa to prostitute the voices of women on your Radio Shaytaan?

Secondly, the Aayat is not a '*daleel*' (proof) for voting. The Aayat is in fact a '*daleel*' against voting.

Shahaadat in the form of a vote means that the Muslim voter testifies to the credibility of the non-Muslim candidate. He bears evidence that the non-Muslim candidate has 'the capability and qualifications to govern and rule'. Surely such evidence, in our elections, would be false, since the first requisite of leadership is Islam, which is, obviously not found in a non-Muslim. In addition, *adaalat* (uprightness in

character, morals and integrity) is also a requisite for a leader.

Evidence of this nature is therefore tantamount to *Shahaadatuz zoor* (false evidence), which is **Haraam** in the Shariah. It should be noted here that Islam regards leadership of a country to be the highest post granted to an individual in this world and such government in fact acts in the capacity of Almighty Allah's vicegerent, being the authority responsible for the administration of the Divine Shariah. Hence Islam, along with *adaalat* is a pre-requisite for such a high post. But a kuffaar system is the very opposite of the Divine System by means of which Allah's Vicegerent is appointed.

Thirdly, The Aayat states 'Shuhadaa-a-lillah' – Witnesses for Allah. You are voting for fussaag and kuffaar but you speak of Witnesses for Allah! **Jahannam** (the Fire of Hell) is the consequence of misinterpreting the Qur'aan, O Mudhillleen and Munaafiqeen of Radio Shaytaan!!!

4 – THE FIVE ELEMENTS

Radio Shaytaan, without thinking of our political scenario, blurts out the following:

“In Islam voting comprises of five elements viz. (1) that of giving evidence. (2) Intercession (3) appointing someone as a representative (4)

Mashwarah/consultation (5) and Wakaalah/proxy or agency. These elements, which govern voting in Islam, make it compulsory upon every Muslim to exercise meticulous care in his/her choice of a Representative in parliament. ”

Response:

(1) That of giving evidence – Shahaadat. We already explained above.

(2) Intercession - Intercession through voting means that the voter earnestly wants leadership of government (in this particular case) to be granted to the non-Muslim candidate. This entails tacit approval of whatever type of law or government the non-Muslim will implement, including all unjust, un-Islamic forms of legislation. This is without doubt *shafaa'ate sayyi-ah* (evil intercession) as described by The Quran-e-Kareem.

One whose intercession is for a good cause receives his share of the reward for that good, and by the same token one whose intercession is on behalf of evil-doers who will perpetrate injustices and rule in conflict with the Law of Qur'aan will also carry the blame for such wrongdoing. (See Qurtubi 5:295 and Ma-aariful Quran 2:498)

(3) *Appointing someone as a representative* - The same could be said about representation. The Muslim voter appoints a particular non-Muslim party or a so-

called Muslim party under the auspices of fujjaar, fussaag and zanadaqah as his/her representative to act on his/her behalf. The agent (wakeel) is of course one who acts on behalf of his principal (muakkil).

The wikalat in the context of voting is of a general nature (mutlaq) by virtue of which the wakeel has the right to act as, how and when he deems it appropriate and necessary.

Here too, the Muslim voter has in essence given the non-Muslim or the faasiq, faajir zindeeq candidate and his party an open mandate to implement the form of government they feel appropriate and expedient.

For a period of five years thereafter the voter has no choice but to stand by and witness the wrongs perpetrated by the party of his choice. The Muslim is therefore guilty of awarding implicit consent and agreement to all un-Islamic policies enacted by the non-Muslim government or a zindeeq/murtad government in a Muslim country.

The similitude of this in Fiqh is like a muakkil appointing a wakeel to commit a haraam act, either explicitly or implicitly when he is aware that his wakeel is prone to commit that particular unlawful act. Clearly such wikaalat is Haraam in Islam. It should also be remembered that basically Kufr is one *millat* (religion), and all parties involved are bound by a constitution totally foreign to Islam.

(4) *Mashwarah/consultation* – A person is likewise in this case giving his opinion as to which candidate will be credible. How can a non-Muslim or a faasiq, faajir, zindeeq be a credible leader?

But these Juhala fail to state who is credible?

(5) *Wakaalat* – this is the same as representative as explained in number 3.

Based on the above, we can comfortably say that it is not permissible for Muslims to vote as the above shows a multiple of sins simply associated with the isolated act of voting for non-Muslims without even considering the ramifications as well as the un-Islamic system of voting!!!

Thus, Radio Shaytaan have stated that *‘these elements, which govern voting in Islam, make it compulsory upon every Muslim to exercise meticulous care in his/her choice of a Representative in parliament.’*

It makes it compulsory on every Muslim to abstain from voting. Voting is un-Islamic. Then, by voting, one chooses a particular non-Muslim to act as one’s representative in parliament which is Shahaadat-e-Zoor. In addition, multiple violations of the Shariah take place such as intermingling of the sexes, etc.

To Radio Shaytaan we say: Thank You! The five elements have convinced us even further that voting

is Haraam. Thanks to the Shayaateen of Radio Shaytaan and their corrupt so-called Muftis! Well done! Good job! The more they try to defend the haraam system, the clearer the prohibition becomes...

5 – SURAH NISAA AAYAT 85

Shooting themselves, The Radio Shaytaan sciolists state:

“People usually regard voting as a game of winning and losing. We must ponder how many voters are conscious of the fact that they are fulfilling a duty? “Whoso interveneth in a good cause will have the reward thereof, and whoso interveneth in an evil cause will bear the consequence thereof. Allah overseeth all things.” Quran - An Nisa 4/85”

We already made reference to this Aayat whilst discussing intercession. Radio Shaytaan has failed to present even an iota of proof to substantiate their claim that voting is a duty upon a Muslim. On the contrary, almost every statement they made, every Aayat and Hadeeth they quote and misinterpret, backfires and refutes their baseless and silly opinion on the issue of voting and Kuffaar politics.

Consider the following statement of Radio Shaytaan:
“Thus if the elected person carries out good work during his/her stay in office then whatever rewards he/she will benefit from this, a share will also be due

to the voter. The voter has a share in the good of the elected person.”

Now what about all the evil that he does? Is Radio Shaytaan living in South Africa or in some other planet? These evil deviates are trying to pull wool over the eyes of gullible and unwary people!

The voter has a share in the so-called good of the elected person according to Radio Shaytaan. Now what if the elected person legalizes pornography and prostitution, then may Radio Shaytaan and all the stupid so-called Muftis, Sheikhs and scholars explain to us about the voters share in this regard!

It will be stupid and one-sided to claim that the voter has a share in the good of the elected person and not a share in the evil that he carries out! The Aayat quoted by the deviates speaks of good and evil – not just good! There is no need to further comment on this.

Ta-awwuz (reciting A-oo’dhu billahi...till the end) is necessary for the ‘wasaawis’ which Radio Shaytaan dins into the ears and hearts of the masses. It is only the ***Shayaateen*** who enjoy the Shaitaani so-called ‘Radio Islam’, so-called ‘Channel Islam’, and every other so-called ‘Islamic’ TV or radio channels of the moronic Shayaateen! Their evil is shocking – using Qur’aanic aayaat to support flagrant fisq and kufr. They have no perception of Imaan.

6 – INVITATION TO ISLAM

Presenting another deceptive and baatil argument, Radio Shaytaan avers:

“Our friendship with non-Muslims and voting for them is not on the basis of Christian, Jewish or communist backgrounds. It is also not on the basis of showing approval to unislamic ideologies, but only on the basis that we secure our rights and perhaps show them through a practical example the beauty of Islam and its characteristic teachings. This is also a way of extending an invitation towards Islam.”

Response:

1. It is false to say or imply that voting ‘*is also a way of extending an invitation towards Islam*’. The voting system is un-Islamic as explained earlier. How does one extend an invitation towards Islam by using un-Islamic methods?

It is Haraam to use un-Islamic methods to promote Islam. TV, videos, open days, Interfaith etc. are not ways of promoting Islam! Using Haraam methods is nothing but Fitnah! Instead of da’wat, the perpetrator himself is lost in sin and transgression with the haraam methods he employs. He attempts to wash clothes with urine.

Rasulullah (Sallallahu Alayhi Wasallam) said:

“A time will soon dawn when the worst of the people under the canopy of the sky will be their ulama. From them will emerge fitnah, and the fitnah will rebound on them.”

The Fitnah is yet to rebound on these Mudhillleen who trample on the Shariah.

2. Voting for a kufr system is a way of befriending non-Muslims. Such friendship is haraam.

3. Securing rights for Muslims is not dependent upon participating in non-Muslim politics! Whatever rights the constitution grants is available to Muslims even if they do not vote. By voting they are not able to secure additional rights.

4. Showing approval to un-Islamic ideologies is Kufr.

5. Practically demonstrating the beauty of Islam is by not participating in politics which are in conflict with the Shariah such as Kuffaar politics. Bootlicking does not display any Islamic beauty.

7 – HAZRAT MUFTI KIFAAYATULLAH SAHEB

Continuing, the Radio Shaytaan deviates state:

“In a reply to a query regarding participation in non-Muslim politics, Mufti Kifaayatullah RA writes... “The ideal situation is that the political leader of Muslims should be a Muslim who strictly adheres to the injunctions of Shariah and diligently follows the commandments of Allah SWT. However, if a person with such qualities is unfortunately not available to fill the post or the Muslim community is unable to recognize and nominate a person of such calibre to the post, then it should vote for any political thinker whether Muslim or non Muslim.”

Response:

Citing Hazrat Mufti Kifaayatullah Saheb to us, is of no benefit. The advice of Hazrat Mufti Kifaayatullah Saheb is applicable to a much different scenario. The scenario in India during his time is vastly different from what prevails today in South Africa. Furthermore, Mufti Kifaayatullah’s personal opinion is not a daleel of the Shariah, and we do not submit to it.

According to Radio Shaytaan and its lost Jamiat, it is sinful to abstain from voting, but according to Mufti Kifaayatullah, in the absence of reliable candidates, one should not even try to vote.

We simply do not understand what did Radio Shaytaan gain by quoting Mufti Kifaayatullah Saheb? Mufti Kifaayatullah Saheb’s view substantiates our view as we too say that one should not even try to vote

since voting is in conflict with the Shariah in addition to the absence of reliable candidates!

It should be remembered that Mufti Kifaayatullah Saheb and the Ulama-e-Haq – *all of them* – agree that voting is an un-Islamic Kufr system. Nobody justifies the system.

However, it is important to realise that the scenario in India differs vastly from our current scenario. Thus, it is irresponsible to quote Fatwas applicable to a completely different scenario and then hoist it on our community which is facing a totally different situation. This is not called Fiqh. This is called Nafsaaniyyat, which Radio Shaytaan and its moron Sheikhs and Molvis excel in.

There is no need for us to eat pork! Pork is Haraam. Whilst a little swine flesh will be permissible for the man who is dying out of starvation and has no edible item to save his life except pork, it remains Haraam for everyone else who is not under such duress. The two scenarios are vastly different.

Comparing the current political scenario or the scenario which existed 80 years ago during Mufti Kifaayatullah's time in India to the religious freedom in South Africa is stupid. We have no threats of our Masaajid being converted into Mandirs or Churches as is the case with the *verkrampste* anti-Muslim party who is currently ruling India.

8 – MUFTI MAHMOOD HASAN GANGOHI SAHEB

Quoting Mufti Mahmood Saheb, the Shayaateen state:

“Mufti Mahmood Hasan RA writes...”if by voting there is some benefit to the Deen, nation and country and it is strongly hoped that the party or candidate voted into power will render correct service, then one should vote.”

Response:

1. The India scenario is different from ours.
2. The very first service is Deeni service and Islamic values. Now which party will render proper Islamic service and which Party will be beneficial to the Deen?

All what we require is our religious freedom and we Alhamdulillah have it. What is required from us, is *Taubah* (repentance) and *Itaa-‘at* (obedience) unto Allah – not bootlicking the Kuffaar.

We have already commented on the candidates earlier. The deviates should name the party which is beneficial to the Deen.

9 – TWO REASONS TO VOTE

“THERE ARE TWO REASONS WHY MUSLIMS SHOULD VOTE.

- 1. To secure adequate delivery of day to day basic necessities. (Water lights, road construction, community development etc)*
- 2. To secure Islamic rights and the freedom to practise on Islam.”*

Response:

Firstly, both reasons presented by Radio Shaytaan are not valid reasons for Muslims to participate in voting.

Secondly, as far as delivery of day to day basic necessities are concerned, this is not based on voting. Voting for a certain party does not necessarily secure adequate delivery of day to day basic necessities. Also, this need does not justify commission of Shar'i violations, sins and transgression. Every political party is committed to attend to these basic needs.

Thirdly, our Islamic rights and freedom to practise on Islam is currently not under threat to constrain us to vote. Such exigencies postulated by Radio Shaytaan do not currently exist.

Fourthly, Radio Shaytaan's abortive attempt to prove that voting for the kuffaar system is a Shar'i waajib

act is, is in fact an act of kufr for interpolating baatil into the Deen. In the attempt to prove this kufr, the devil's radio has failed. Since both reasons are invalid as explained above, Muslims should not vote!

10 – WHO TO VOTE FOR?

Radio Shaytaan had mentioned at this juncture something which is irrelevant. Since voting is impermissible and nothing constrains us to vote, the question of who to vote for, simply does not arise.

Furthermore, they have not mentioned exactly the party to vote for. Radio Shaytaan states:

“1. At a party political level - such a political party that has the capacity to deliver and that is Muslim friendly. I.e. does not oppose the Muslim cause. E.g. certain political parties have openly supported the publication of the cartoons of Muhammad SAW; they have supported the invasion of Muslim lands; and have objected to the modus operandi of the Palestinian cause, notwithstanding the sensitivities of the Muslim community. However, on the other hand there are other political parties who have openly expressed their views against the publication of such cartoons, keeping Muslim sensitivities in mind. They have also opposed the Iraqi invasion and have straightforwardly supported the Palestinian cause.”

1 – As far as vilifying Rasulullah Sallallahu alayhi Wasallam is concerned, when the Ulama-e-Haq initiated the boycott against Sunday Times, this very same Radio Shaytaan, its Fordsburg Jamiat and SANHA stabbed the Ummah in the back!

The Fordsburg Jamiat openly opposed the boycott of the Sunday Times who insulted Nabi Sallallahu Alayhi Wasallam and refused to give us a guarantee that such blasphemy will not happen in future. Whilst all Ulama were boycotting Sunday Times, Radio Shaytaan, SANHA and its Shaytaani Fordsburg Jamiat were opposing the boycott. So, their talk of the ‘cartoons’ is hypocritical. The Nifaaq of Radio Shaytaan, SANHA Shaytaan and Jamiatush Shaytaan is glaring.

SANHA was even part of a Pick n’Pay – Sunday Times Food fair! Now, is this called love for Nabi Sallallahu Alayhi Wasallam? They call Nabi Sallallahu Alayhi Wasallam a paedophile (nauthubillah) and the SANHA Shaitaans are part of their food-fair!

2 – As far as the Palestinian cause is concerned, SANHA and its mock-Muftis certify Israeli products as Halaal. This is once again an example of the Nifaaq of Radio Shaytaan and its carrion clique.

The above was merely a slight digression to indicate the Shaitaaniyyat of Bham, SANHA and Radio Shaytaan. Nevertheless, voting is impermissible.

Instead of speaking about all the Haraam, Fisq, Fujoor and Kufr involved in Kufr governance, voting, the elections and Kufr politics, they only endeavour to promote and defend voting – an attempt in which they have miserably failed.

We can comfortably say that these Zindeeqs don't know what they blurt out. According to them, a Kaafir possesses *sound leadership skills*! What more can be expected from such deviates of Radio Shaytaan whose Islamic bankruptcy has caused them to lay prostrate at the feet of the kuffaar politicians.

11 – HAZRAT MUFTI SHAFI SAHEB

Trying to extract capital from Mufti Shafi Saheb, the Radio Shaytaan deviates state:

“In his Tafsir Ma’ariful Quran, Mufti Muhammad Shafee RA categorises friendship with non-Muslims into four components.

- 1. Muwaalaat – to develop a bosom type of relationship where one indulges in evils such as Zinaa fornication; alcohol; interest etc. such a relationship is prohibited.*
- 2. Muwaasaat – to show sympathy and pity for the injustices and hardships afflicted upon non-Muslims. Such a relationship on humanitarian grounds is a must.*
- 3. Muaamalaat – a relationship based on business.*

Such a relationship is sanctioned provided there is no harm to the Muslim community.

4. Mudaarat – displaying good manners; politeness and courtesy towards non-Muslims in order to live harmoniously with each other.”

Response:

1. The issue of voting has got nothing to do with having a good relationship with mankind.

2. Befriending the Kuffaar and having a good relationship are two different issues.

Those who advocate democracy and the ways of the Yahood and Nasaara are in reality a part of them. The Qur’aan Majeed states in this regard:

“O People of Imaan! Do not take the Yahood and Nasaara as friends. They are friends to one other.

Whoever from among you (O Muslims!) who befriends them, verily he is of them. Verily, Allah does not guide people who are oppressors.”

(Al-Maaidah, Aayat 51).

3. *Muwaalaat* – the Fordsburg Jamiat and Radio Shaytaan are guilty of this sin. They have such a relationship with the government, the political parties and the priests of kufr religions that they indulge in many Haraam acts in the process of bootlicking the Kuffaar. We have elaborated on several sins in our booklet on the Kathrada funeral issue.

4. The permissibility of *Mudaaraat*, *Muaamalaat* (subject to certain conditions) and *Muwaasaat* is in no way affected or impinged upon by the views expressed in this treatise. All these types of Islamic behaviour could and should still be maintained even if Muslims abstain from casting their votes. On the other hand, the permissibility of these factors can in no way be construed as license or Shar'i permission to participate in voting, or in any non-Muslim political system.

It should also be understood that abstention from non-Muslim politics does not denote any hatred for non-Muslims in this country. Non-participation is based on the firm grounds of the Shariah. Therefore, the arguments of muwasaat, etc. presented to legalize voting in South Africa by Muslims, are uncalled for and meaningless.

Muwasaat (good relationship) with all mankind is among the moral demands of Islam. It forms part of the Muslim's moral character. When Muslims refrain from kufr politics, it does not follow that they are abandoning muwasaat.

Securing rights for Muslims does not depend on participation in non-Muslim politics. The Muslim community simply has to enter into dialogue with the government of the day. Thus, voting has no relationship with the issue of mudaarat (politeness and

courtesy) which some have been attempting to imply by their pro-voting stance.

Furthermore, Mufti Shafi Saheb's article on voting which appears in Jawaahirul Fiqh is applicable to Islamic parties and only Muslim candidates in a Muslim country where there exists the strong possibility that certain candidates, should they come into power, would strive for the implementation of Islamic rule.

12 – SURAH BAQARAH VERSE 283

Coming to a baseless conclusion, Radio Shaytaan states:

“So it is clear that voting is a duty upon each individual and not just a right. Allah Says in Surah Baqarah Verse 283 that: “Conceal not evidence for whoever conceals it in his heart is tainted.”

Response:

Radio Shaytaan has failed to prove that it is a duty to participate in an un-Islamic system. And how can it ever be a duty to participate in an un-Islamic system when we are forbidden to do so by the Shariah? It is our duty and right to abstain from voting – Islamically and constitutionally. Voting is un-Islamic. And being part of the law-process is Kufr.

There is no concealing of evidence when one abstains from voting. It is absurd and silly to say that abstention from voting is to conceal evidence. What evidence is being concealed by those who do not participate in kufr politics?

On the contrary, giving evidence for a party which is not Islamic, is sinful. This is giving false evidence. Voting is tantamount to false evidence in our current scenario which in Qur'aanic terms is known as *Shahaadat-e-Zoor!*

Accordingly, Mufti Shafi Saheb has mentioned that voting for the wrong person or for a Zaalim or Faasiq or incompetent person, is a major sin.

We are not concealing any evidence. We openly state that none of them are competent from an Islamic point of view. When none is competent, then it is tahreef of the Nusoos to accuse someone of concealing Shahaadat simply because he abstains from indulging in haraam.

Circumstances do not constrain us to vote. Thus, participating in a Haraam system cannot be equated to Shahaadat. This is incorrect.

A BROTHER'S LETTER

The following is a letter from a concerned brother:

“Assalaamualaikum

Respected Mufti Saheb,

1. I have a major concern regarding Jamiat ulama SA (*he is referring to the Jamiat of Ebrahim Bham – **JamiatNC***) as well as other organizations such as Radio Islam, Darul Ihsaan, promoting voting. As a believer we understand very well that promoting voting in a democratic country where the laws governed by other than the Quran and Sunnah. How do they justify it?

Recently they have used verses from the Quran in promoting their stance, is this not tahreef of the Quran?

2. They've got a very wide platform, they reach out to many Muslims in SA, the concern is that they are reaching out to them and encouraging voting with "daleel" from the Quran, are the masses not misled? How do we correct this notion amongst the masses, will the general Ulama who understand the truth and not speak against it, not be answerable before Allah?
jazaakallahu khairan”

RESPONSE

Allah Ta'ala states in the Qur'aan Majeed:
*“Those who do not govern according to that
(Shariah) revealed by Allah, indeed they are the
kaafiroon.”*

It should be understood clearly that the western system of democracy has neither origin nor sanction in Islam. The endeavour to equate the system of voting with Islamic concepts, smacks strongly of apologetism. In almost all cases, worldly motives are the incentives for this attempt.

The attempt to eke out Qur'aanic support for the system of voting which prevails in South Africa and in other countries, is indeed a miserable, sad exercise. It is an insult to men of knowledge who claim to be upholders of the Sunnah. The un-Islamic ingredients and methods of the voting system are glaring. Deep thought is not required to understand that this western system of selecting a government is just not compatible with Islam.

A VERY SIMPLE ISSUE

Nabi Sallallahu Alayhi Wasallam said: *“Deen is easy.”* Whatever Allah Ta'ala has prohibited, is easy for us to abstain from. And whatever Allah Ta'ala has enjoined upon us, is easy to carry out. There is no difficulty and absolutely no complications in our Shariah.

The issue of voting is indeed very simple. The issue is: In spite of the voting system being un-Islamic and in conflict with the Shariah, is it permissible for Muslims to vote? Do the current circumstances justify participation in voting or not?

Consider the following: If a Muslim is under duress and dying of starvation in a situation where the only edible item available is swine meat, the simple and straightforward ruling will be that it is permissible for him to consume a little of the haraam item to save himself. In order to extract this permissibility, there is absolutely no need to resort to laborious arguments, first to prove that pork is also a food item and to eat food is necessary to sustain the body, hence eating pork is halaal. Such arguments are the product of ignorance.

In addition to the voting system being plainly un-Islamic, our current situation demands that voting remains impermissible. In other words, it is not permissible to consume a little swine flesh. There is currently no danger to Muslims or to our Islamic way of life. In our opinion there is no pressing need for Muslims to vote. The harms attendant to participation in non-Muslim politics far outweigh the advantages. There is no good to gain from voting under present circumstances.

Muslims are under no pressure to vote. Our lives and Deen are not under threat, compelling us to vote for

any non-Muslim or to participate in their politics. Participation in their politics is participation in kufr, fisq, fujoor and haraam.

Intermingling of the sexes, photography, total violation of Hijaab and numerous other Haraam acts are part of the process of registration and voting. And it should be remembered that by voting, a person is automatically contributing to the political cause of a party even if one does not agree to all the views of the party one votes for!

Our responses to the brother's queries are as follows:

1. One may not use the Qur'aan to promote something which is in conflict with the Shariah. This is openly *tahreef* (intentional misinterpretation) of the Qur'aan which is stark Kufr. When one knows that the voting system is un-Islamic, then how can one have the audacity to misuse the Qur'aan for voting? Where in the Qur'aan or in the Shariah is the kuffaar system of voting promoted? Nowhere! On the contrary, it is severely condemned and branded kufr and its proponents are described as *kaafiroon*, *zaalimoon* and *faasiqoon*.

It is indeed sad, lamentable, a great sin and clear-cut deception for some so-called 'Molvis' to scare Muslims into Haraam voting by stupidly and baselessly averring that it is their right and duty to vote. To them we say that it is our constitutional right and our Shar'i right to abstain from voting.

They misuse the Qur'aan and when it is said that they are evil Ulama (Ulama-e-Soo), then the Ulama-e-Haq are accused of insulting the 'Ulama'! What! Do they expect us to praise people who misinterpret the Qur'aan so blatantly? Undoubtedly, it is Shaitaanīyat to say that a Muslim is sinning for not participating in Kufr elections.

When the situation does not demand a Muslim to eat a little pork to save his life, then the Muslim may not be condemned for not consuming the filth. The entire fabric of governance in both 'Muslim' and non-Muslim countries is kufr and haraam. How can it ever be permissible for Muslims to be a party to such governance?

2. Yes, the Ulama-e-Haq should speak up against all evils, especially those of the Ulama-e-Soo. This is the primary obligation of the Ulama known as *Amr Bil Ma'roof Nahi Anil Munkar* (enjoining what is right and forbidding what is wrong).

(Alhamdulillah, this booklet is a detailed response to the arguments the brother had forwarded to us)



**IT IS YOUR
ISLAMIC AND
CONSTITUTIONAL
RIGHT NOT TO
VOTE!!! AND THE
DEMAND OF THE
SHARIAH IS TO
ABSTAIN FROM
PARTICIPATION
IN THE KUFR
SYSTEM.**



???

**WHY ARE SOME MOZLEM
'SCHOLARS' NOT CRITICIZING
THE KUFR SYSTEM OF
GOVERNANCE?**

**ARE THEY WAITING FOR
AMBASSADORSHIP OR
DIPLOMATIC PASSPORTS???**

???

THIS BOOK IS AVAILABLE FOR DOWNLOAD
FROM THE FOLLOWING WEBSITE:

www.jamiatnc.co.za/books